

#### **Paul Pinault**

Blog/contact: www.disk91.com

Twitter: @disk\_91

YouTube: <a href="https://www.youtube.com/c/PaulPinault">https://www.youtube.com/c/PaulPinault</a>

# The Internet Of Things

Introduction to what is the Internet of Things, why does it change the world where we live, what are the technologies behind the scene?

How des it apply to your domain?









#### • ISIMA ENGINEER

• 17 years **Level IT, IoT** 

#### CLOUD ARCHITECTE bews

I'm building a secured cloud solution to host sensitive data like heath data, personal data, industrial secrets....

#### **IoT Expert**



https://www.disk91.com

https://youtube.disk91.com
https://github.com/disk91/stm32-it-sdk






sigfox

DEVICE MAKER & STARTUP
FOUNDER ingeniousthings

TEACHER and SPEAKER








IoT is a revolution changing the way industries are going to execute their processes



## Industrial processes transformation takes time



#### The early digital

Traditional actors use the technology but not change the way they are doing business. New actors appears with not adapted business models, or can't scale

#### Digital native disrupters

New actors take advantages of the technology to create a totally different way for doing business and to scale fast

#### Mass industry transformation

Traditional actors are switching from a use of the technology for making a traditional business towards a way of doing business differently with these technologies.

Business model transformation

amazon

Sidewalk

#### **DIGITAL / IoT Transformation are** ways to make existing business in a totally different way

- **Customer change** management takes time
- **Traditional business** transformation takes longer time

Winners are the one able to use the technology to embrace a transformation quicker than the others

#### Pre-existing



La Redoute French style made easy












#### Disrupter









#### **Transformation**




























# Industrial processes transformation takes time











loT is a solution, based on data collected from physical world, directly, by things



# loT has 3 layers to compose a solution.







#### **DEVICES**

To capture the data from the physical world
Devices are numerous. Larger the fleet is and larger the value created by the platform will be.

#### **COMMUNICATION**

To transmit, autonomously, the captured data from the fields to the consumers.

Communication key feature is not to be fast; it is to be energy efficient.

#### **PLATFORM**

Makes the data meaningful and accessible to the end-user.
Process large set of data. Mix different source of data. Create individual and aggregated value.
Manage the device fleet



# **USE CASE**

Get personal activity & health data from million of different people world-wide. Process them and propose:

- Individual feedback
- Global data studies and partnership programs



#### **MULTIPLE DEVICES**

Collecting the same type of Data



#### **USING BLUETOOTH**

And the customer smartphone as a Gateway to internet



#### WITH APP AND **BIG DATA**

To propose a valuable customer experience and B2B services like heath insurances

# NETATIMO USE CASE

Get home environmental information – Temperature, Hygro, Sound...

- Individual feedback
- Global data studies and partnership programs



# MULTIPLE DEVICES

Collecting the different type of data all related to your home



#### **USING Wi-Fi**

And the customer Internet connectivity to reach the backend services



# WITH APP AND BIG DATA

To propose a valuable customer experience and B2B services like city map of environmental noise

## **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**





Composed by Hardware, Network and Software.

It needs maintenance and the associated business model is a service



# Belonging on multiple technologies

The communication layer uses different technologies depends on the context.



## With a two sides source of value

A direct benefit for the end-user (the reason why he buy it) and a B2B source of revenue obtained thanks to the massification of the collected data


Author - Paul Pinault / Disk91.com

#### IoT solutions have a complex cost model

#### Mixing

- Capital to produce the software / hardware and industrialization design
- Per devices costs related to production and distribution
- Recuring investment to maintain the software stack
- Recuring cost for supporting maintenance, communications, platform run and end-user-support
- Volume of devices is a key factor
- Longer the service is delivered and higher the cost per device is

IoT solution business model differ from commodity product standard





#### Xiaomi -Caméra de Sécurité Domestique 360° 1080P-

★★★★ × 2 442 évaluations | 177 questions avec réponses

Tous les prix incluent la TVA.

Assistance produit Amazon gratuite incluse >

Livraison GRATUITE (0,01€ pour les livres) en point retrait. Détails

Neufs (9) à partir de 33,98 € + Livraison GRATUITE

Arlo Pro 3 | Pack de 2 caméras de surveillance 2K HDR, Batterie rechargeable Alarme Grand angle 160°, Audio Bi-directionnel Eclairage spotlight intégré (VMS4240P)

Visiter la boutique Arlo

★★☆☆ × 82 évaluations 8 questions avec réponses

Prix: 599,00 €

Tous les prix incluent la TVA.

Payez: 149,75 € x 4 (-13,48 0 € de frais inclus) Voir conditions et plus de facilités de paiement

Assistance produit Amazon gratuite incluse

ToT needs recurring revenue or needs to includes future costs in the initial price







Hangang Traceur GPS Magnétique 90 Jours Longue Veille IP65 étanche Suivi en Temps Réel, Tracker GPS pour Voiture Camion Moto Véhicule Outo TKMARS905

```
Marque: HanGang
★★★★ × 125 évaluations
 104 questions avec réponses
  Prix: 52,99 €
        Tous les prix incluent la TVA.
Coupon ( Utiliser le coupon de 5% Détails
Payez en 4 fois dès 75 € d'achats Voir détails et
conditions
Message promotionnel Promo... 3 promotions
```

Assistance produit Amazon gratuite incluse Livraison GRATUITE (0.01€ pour les livres) en point

Tractive Collier Gps pour Chien - Traceur Gps avec Portée Illimitée, Blanc

Visiter la boutique Tractive

★★★☆ 
3 540 évaluations | 43 questions avec réponses

Prix conseillé: 49,00 € De quoi s'agit-il? ~

Prix de l'offre : 19,19 €

Économisez : 29,81 € (61 %) Tous les prix incluent la TVA.

Livraison GRATUITE (0,01€ pour les livres) en point retrait. Détails

Neufs & occasions (7) 18,81 € et livraison GRATUITE pour les commandes d'un montant supérieur à 25,00 €

- ABONNEMENT REQUIS: à partir de 3,75 € par mois (pour abonnement biennal payable à l'avance), plusieurs options disponibles. L'appareil fonctionne grâce à une carte SIM déjà intégrée, et nécessite donc un abonnement Tractive couvrant la connexion mobile.
- TRACEUR GPS: Appareil de suivi GPS léger (35 g) et étanche, recommandé pour les chiens de plus de 4,5 kg. Le traceur Tractive GPS s'attache facilement à tout collier ou harnais. Contrairement aux GPS Bluetooth, le traceur Tractive a une portée illimitée.

Recurring revenue is a warranty for platform evolutions and data safety.

Tractive GPS nécessite un abonnement afin de pouvoir suivre votre chien





Passez la souris sur l'image pour zoomer














# Selling IoT as a Product leads to slow death




#### IoT revenue model

There are many revenue model, an illustration here is on B2C direct solution with indirect B2B markets

- There is the reason why you accept / want the solution.
- There are the market where the solution creates value, sometime the reason why the solution has been created.

This is a win-win deal for human generated data



# "DATA IS THE NEW OIL" is a wrong assumption! However data is **O'C**.








## MORE DATA YOU HAVE HIGHER ITS VALUE IS.

With larger data set you can extract more value, touch larger indirect businesses.



# THE VALUE OF DATA IS ONLY DUE TO ITS UNIQUENESS

As the data can be sold without reducing your stock of Data, its value comes to a non existing competition or its value tends toward 0.



# The rules of the DATA ORE

Mining data let you make a stock; the stock values comes from the number of markets/customers you can reach with unique Insights. This is related to the volume of data you have and the lack of competition. Selling you raw data is making new competitors.



**DATA MINING HAS A COST** 



**DATA CAN BE REUSE INDEFINITELY** 




SELLING RAW DATA DESTROY ITS VALUE



DATA VALUE COMES FROM YOUR STOCK OR RAW DATA



ANY COMPETITOR HAVING MORE DATA THAN YOU DESTROY YOUR DATA VALUE



# **FITBIT USE CASE**

Fitbit was the first to capture the human activity at a scale never obtained before. They have been able to propose unique Insights to health research, insurance market and much more. They were expecting a significant revenue from it to support the run costs.





Global statistics (anonymous)

(personal data)

Massive data aggregation








# FITBIT USE CASE

The activity sensors have been deployed in cellphone, watches and many competitor's activity trackers making the activity data value tending toward zero today.





Visible Insight

Global statistics (anonymous)

Profiling ta (personal data)







Google
Apple
Samsung
Strada
Nike

COMPETITORS

•••

With a tracker deployed in the element of the logistic chain, many companies are looking to improve the supply-chain cost by reducing the transport duration and reducing the stock size and associated cost.



In most of the case, nothing is done here,

and value is lost

Author -



Global statistics

Transporter profiling

## **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**





Longer the service is delivered, higher the cost is per device.



Business model includes recuring cost

In the initial price (device will have a programmed obsolescence) or within a subscription



# Multi-side business can be a solution

By targeting different market and extracting more value from the data you can balance the recurring cost issue





IoT is an opportunity to innovate like Internet or smartphones transformed our environment





Adding a connectivity feature on an existing things does not make innovation happen.

Innovation transforms an existing market or create new markets



Understand the use of product

Propose maintenance based on use

Per use billing

right on time

Propose renewal

Unlock special blade

Track product in distribution circuit

Allow opening

Fight against counterfeiting

# Why connecting tables?

- Would it be for the end-user to master its dinner habits ?
  - Are your ready to pay for it?
- Would it be for the manufacturing process?
  - Can we save money?

YES!

Manufacturers needs to forecast future order with accuracy to plan raw material purchase and flatten manufacturing process.

loT can give them a real time view of the distribution stocks and move away from forecast to real-time market data.




# loT can fight against pandemics

Here is a Map of Covid evolution within the USA made by Kinsa connected thermometer. This is precious visualization obtained with \$30-\$50 thermometers, complex to use. It is like a satellite watching hearth with a 100km x 100km definition.

How this could be with IoT at Scale providing a 100m x 100m definition, thanks to \$3 connected thermometers?

- It could predict any pandemic movements.
- Gives immediate results even before test.
- It could give transparency all over the world.
- Allow to confine small area instead of whole countries.
- It could protect the personal data more than the existing solutions.



# loT for public led problem to service

Public lights are fails and detection is usually made manually on regular basis.

- It has a detection cost
- It implies a bad quality of service

Connected lights can reduce the detection cost, allow to get an immediate detection and maintenance offering a high quality of service.

But it is also an opportunity to transform this kind of maintenance as a service, being able to do predictive maintenance.

It's also an opportunity to change the way the city lights are managed and to save a lot of energy with a higher service level for the citizens.

Connecting a light today is less than \$2 hardware.





# **IoT** for safer mobility



# IoT makes technologies reaching a new scale

Humanity scale

Family scale

Internet 2014

3.000.000.000

Internet 2004

800.000.000

Mobile 2016

7.400.000.000

Mobile 2006

2.600.000.000

**IoT 2015** 

†Things scale

**IoT 2025** 

27.000.000.000

6.000.000.000

## IoT at scale

What makes the difference and innovation with IoT is the ability to **make it at** scale. The ability to deploy millions of devices in the field.



#### **ULTRA-LOW-COST DEVICES**

In 2020 we reached under \$1 IoT devices firs condition to support at scale deployment



#### IN FIELD COST TENDING TO ZERO

The second condition to support at scale deployments.



# IoT as the source of physical world AI



IOT CAPTURES THE ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

DATA FEED THE AI.



AI main domains of implementation is digital world (images, video, sounds, voice, social network, books ...)
The AI capabilities in the physical world is huge (car driving, industrial maintenance, pollution, energy consumption reduction, climate prediction, health & pandemic...)

Currently, **physical world AI** is limited by the small number of data we have for training the neuronal networks. **IoT**, by massively gathering physical world data **is enabling new AI capabilities**.

## **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**





Making things communicating, automate reporting is not enough



It allows to get data from Things anywhere

It gives the solution provider to grab data from any of the things at scale




# IoT scale is Things scale

IoT revolution will make sense at scale, therefore the most important things for a breakthrough is the solution TCO per Things








New networks made IoT to come alive.













#### **BLUETOOTH**

For customers with a smartphone AND data.

This is basically limiting the target population

**Target Geeks** 

#### CELULAR TECHNOLOGIES

Enable access for all with a constraints on communication costs

Reduce autonomy

Target elderly, non geeks

# Smart home – control, save, protect






- 6-month to 2 years autonomy
- Small & Design
- Not expensive
- Subscription against savings



- Get benefit of the home Internet access
- Home wide coverage (300m)



- Focus on user experience
- Belongs on personal data
- Smart home is not home automation



## Network characteristics for Smart Home









#### ZIGBEE

With a gateway connected to home Internet

Low energy communication solution including mesh capabilities

#### WiFi

Already existing every-where with coverage limitation.

Configuration is complex

#### LoRa

With a gateway connected to home Internet


Low energy communication solution with large coverage

#### **Bluetooth**

With a gateway connected to home Internet

Mesh or long range for smart home.
Easy to connect with smartphone















#### LoRaWan

Low power network easy to deploy citywide as private.

Easy to share between multiple project.

Flat cost

#### Sigfox

Public World-Wide Low power network already covering cities.

No deployment and maintenance cost but subscription
Ultra low-cost devices

#### **4G**

Existing technology is most of the cities, allows large traffic but energy consuming.

LTE-M and NB-IoT low power but still limited

#### **5G**

To be technology coming with valuable power efficient solution with large bandwidth compared to 0G.


Subscription based

#### **MESHED NETWORK**



City wide meshed network like Amazon Sidewalk are promising for B2C and could be used for Smart Cities





## Network characteristics for Industrial IoT







Old M2M technology already in place.

**ETHERNET** 

Reliable and secured but costly for massive deployment

Reduced maintenance, not mobile

#### WiFi

Already in most of factories and warehouse

Configuration is complex

Reduced autonomy

#### **LoRaWAN**

Allows to deploy private network at low cost.

Industrial site
coverage with 1-3
gateways only.
Reduced
maintenance


Long Autonomy


#### Sigfox

No investment, public network can be extended locally.

No maintenance cost, subscription cost.

Long Autonomy
Ultra low-cost
devices





## Agricultural IoT









#### **CELULAR**

Usually deployed far after cities, country-side will mostly rely on 2G / 4G technologies.

Allows when needed large data transfer

#### LoRaWAN

Allows to deploy private network at low cost.

Agricultural site coverage with 1-5 gateways only.

Reduced maintenance

Long Autonomy

#### Sigfox

No investment, public network can be extended locally.

No maintenance cost, subscription cost.

Long Autonomy
Ultra low-cost
devices


#### Kinéis

Satellites
No investment,
public network.

No maintenance cost, subscription cost.

Long Autonomy













#### **CELULAR**

Need world-wide coverage, well deployed networks.

4G, sometime 4G LTE-M with fallback.

Energy consuming but having a large historical footprint

#### Sigfox

The growing network covering many countries as a single one.

Simple to use. Low power consumption

Ultra Low Cost

#### Kinéis

Satellites
No investment,
public network.

No maintenance cost, subscription cost.

Long Autonomy







- Robust
- Secured
- Edge processing




- world-wide
- Large bandwidth data
- Low latency



- Car manufacturer close market
- Personal data
- Responsibility in case of accident

Paul Pinault / Disk91.com











4G

For the next 5 to 10 years the networks will remain 4G in certain area.

**5G** 

With low latency
the 5G will improve
autonomous
vehicle by
connecting cars
each others.

### **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**







#### IoT has many faces

There is not one IoT but different domains where IoT applies with different targets, different constraints

## Many technologies are covering these faces

To solve the different constraints, we have a large set of technologies. IoT revolution comes with the arrival with these technologies as enablers

#### Data access vary

Depending on the execution context, data sensitivity vary and the ability to manipulate it of makes added value with massification vary.





The IoT Ecosystem is rich of many players with different roles in the value chain.



#### IoT's rich ecosystem overview

Customer: Business / Administrations / People Citizen Council Device Recycling Training / Evangelization Media Field device management **Data Valorization** Consulting Solution Distributor Solution Integrators Data Aggregation Device Technology Alliances Service Providers Distributor **Automation** Software **IoT Agencies Device Makers** & mechanical development Technology Certification **Providers** IoT Fleets Mgt **IoT Data Processing** Research EMS / Industry platforms platforms **IoT Telecom Subscription** Network Provider Finance Cloud Provider infrastructure provider IoT Network Operator / NS

#### **Technology Actors**

They are creating the technologies enabling the innovation

#### Main domains:

- Telecom
- Energy
- Harvesting
- Silicon
- **Protocols**
- **Encryption**
- Sensors
- **Platforms**

Make the next generation of IoT technologies, low power, harvesting, batteries... Like Sigfox, Saft, Universities...

Research

Technology Alliances

Define norms. Like LoRaAlliance, Wize Aliance, DeWi

**Technology Providers** 

Provide dedicated solution for IoT like low power MCU, radio chip, batteries, sensors, gateways ... Like, ST Micro, Saft, Semtech, Bosh, Sigfox, Kerlink, RAK Wireless ...

Network infrastructure provider

Provide network infrastructure to support IoT communications. They are deploying the communication towers, the network radio equipment and maintain it. Like Sigfox, Objenious, TDF, Orange, SFR, Suez, Kineis, Lacuna Space, amazon...

**Cloud Providers** 

Provide Hosting and processing power for IoT data and services. Like Amazon, Azure, Google, Alibaba, OVH, be vs Cloud.

IoT Fleets Mgt platforms

Manage fleets of device, health monitoring, life-cycle, updates.. Like balena.io

IoT Data processing Pf Provide Software solution to store and process IoT data. Like Aws, Azure, myDevices, Datacake, The Things.io, Ubidot ...

#### Device life cycle

They are participating to the creation and production of the IoT devices, then to the maintenance and end-oflife management.

This is including the service ecosystem for developing HW / FW / SW for the IoT solutions.

**Device Makers** 

IoT Agencies

Software development

EMS / Industry

**Device Recycling** 

Certification

Make devices for selling them as device, they are not providing service based on data produced by devices. Like Adeunis, Draguino

Make device's engineering for customers. Sell services not devices. Make electronic design and firmware design, Also mechanical design. Like Exotic Systems, Rtone ...

This category includes specialists of mobile applications and backend / frontend application for IoT. Like Sigfox IoT Agency, Openium, most of the consulting companies like Accenture, CGI ...

Produce devices, many actors like EMS producing boards, plastic injector producing enclosure, packaging production ...

Manage the end of life for electronic devices.

Certify electronic systems for CE, FCC... regulation. Certify radio protocols and performance like for LoRaWan and Sigfox. Certify security, quality in the service process and data management.

## **Communication providers**

They are providing network server and subscriptions for the devices

IoT Telecom Subscription
Provider

Provide subscription on owed networks or networks owned by other company. Like Sigfox, Objenious, TheThingsIndustry, Helium, Soracom, Orange, SFR, ...

IoT Network Operator / NS

Provide network server, software on the physical telecom infrastructure where devices are registered and relaying device data to customer application. Like Helium, TheThingsNetwokr, TheThingsIndustry, Sigfox, Objenious, Loriot, Actility, Helium-Iot.eu ...

Automation

Provide services for automation / integration.

Platform to send email, sms, hosting Mqqt broker ...

like twilio, ifttt, HiveMQ ... It also includes iPaaS and

Api Management solution used to expose data.

## **Device and Data commerce**

They are selling the IoT solutions and devices.
They can also just process data to create added value.

**Device Distributor** 

They sell IoT devices like any other electronic product. Like Darty, Leroy-Merlin, myDevices.

Service Providers

They sell Insights to customers with, usually, a subscription fee. The device is the way to provide the service. Like Flipr, IngeniousThings, Michelin DDI ...

Solution Distributor

Distribute existing solutions as B2B2B or B2B2C. Usually manage the customer relationship, sales, deploy, support.

**Solution Integrators** 

Integrate existing IoT solution in company information system.

Field device management

Manage in field IoT device fleets, on large territories. Deployment, maintenance, upgrade, removal ...

**Data Valorization** 

Buy existing IoT data to process them and create new added value. Company own IP for data processing algorithm but not capture IoT Data on it own.

Data Aggregation

Aggregate different IoT data in the same domain but from different solutions, including Open Data. Format them and sell access to them for data valorization.

## IoT eco-system transformation

They are accelerating or slowing down the IoT transformation.

#### Consulting

Training / Evangelization

#### Media

Citizen Council

Finance


They are pushing use-cases to industries and administration, expose the value creation for these solution. Support the industry and administration in the IoT transformation. Like KPMG, Accenture, CGI ...

They are explaining the IoT transformation, usually to push the technology they develop. It also includes the universities training students on new technologies. Like Sigfox, LoRaAliance, TheThingsConference, disk91.com

They mostly create IoT phantasm in the society mind for the best and the worst. They directly influence the IoT adoption.

As a response of the media IoT phantasms, council of citizen impacts / influence the deployment and decision takers. They usually works for citizen privacy protection.

Startup ecosystem, on the early stage of every new technology and transformation need to be financed. On top of this, the transformation from a device-based business model (capex) to service-based business model (opex) needs financing supports for small size business.





# What is an IoT project? Main steps, technologies involved, associated investments


## Typical IoT Project timeline

Successful IoT projects are the one getting the best Field experience in the early stages.

Do not make the large investment needed in the industrialization phase until making them.

Months – usual duration for an IoT build project

80 % Share of build investment spent in INDUS Phase



#### 2-3 weeks timed-boxed

## 1 POC phase

Create a quick & dirty solution able to determine the main fields issues solution, get the first set of data and prove the business model; imagine business model extension.

We are using out of the box building blocks.



#### AIM ON THE FASTEST

Autonomy, cost, size, design are not the problem to solve at this step. Use existing elements, buy/hack existing devices. Multiply sensors, use local storage if needed.



#### **SELECT THE RIGHT NETWORK**

Network will be a major field constraint impacting the business model. It's better but not mandatory to select the right one.



#### **USE OF MARKET IOT PLAFORM**

Do not invest on UI, Excel is good enough, but there are many IoT platform on the market you can connect your prototypes.











#### **10-30** weeks

## **Pield test**

Deploy 20 to 100 POC devices on the field, in the real conditions, measuring the expected data + a maximum of environmental data. You need to be sure to understand the input impacting the business model.



#### **ACCEPT TO LOST DEVICES**

Any destroyed device is an opportunity to understand an unexpected situation. Ensure you have a diversity of context corresponding to the target situations.



#### **VERIFY YOU CHOICE VIABILITY**

Identify reasons of communication loss. Analyze each of the situations.



#### AT-SCALE, BEYONG THE HORIZON

Any captured data is analyzed, imagine what you can get from atscale data-set. Find the right frequency / energy / precision balance. Look at un-expected use and potential new business transformation of business models...









#### 10-30 weeks

## 3 POT phase

Design a device with the targeted technologies, supporting the fields constraints, with the expected autonomy, cost compliant ... Automate the main identified Insight generation.



#### **VERIFY TECHNICAL ASUMPTIONS**

Small batch of devices, logging information and environmental information but like production expectation. Identify future production constraints and respect of fields constraints. Source different chip providers and start to negotiate price & volumes.



#### **VERIFY YOU CHOICE VIABILITY**

Continue the test field, start negotiate the subscription with the network providers...




#### **CONFIRM VALUE CREATION**

With a larger historical set of data and a larger number of device, confirm your value proposal. Start looking at indirect market you could reached, start contacting potential customers.









#### **30-80** weeks

## **INDUS** phase

Create the solution: the product with a mass production capability, including test, packaging... Create the application and all what is needed to deploy and maintain the solution.



#### PRODUCE AT SCALE

Design the product for being made at scale. Electronic, mechanic, assembly, test automation, certifications, patents... This step requires a huge dose of engineering, with potential innovation.



#### **INTEGRATE WITH SERVICE PROVIDER**

You need to automate the subscription process, subscription renewal and subscription cancelation



#### **BUILD THE APPLICATIONS**

You need to build the entire platform plus the different rendering applications. You can have Insight but also mobile application, websites ... This is mostly classical IT but many things need to be built. Do not forget to include device life-cycle management.










### FROM POC TO DEPLOY







POC Raspberry PI with custom made shield for radio transmissions

POT Device with target technology & sensors

POT2 Device with target technology, sensors and target design approach

## Pivot 1 Behavior is different From global a platform to a dedicated device

Pivot 2
Design is different
From a commodity to a
designed device

#### **MYTEEPI**



Industrialized product.

Ready for batch production
@ 1000 units

Evolution of a product from the POC to the DEPLOY

## An IoT Project is a complex Project

It can be managed in an Agile approach to get deliverables more frequently, but never consider it as a simple IT project, it's much more complex. Complexity depends on:



**DEPLOYMENT SCALE**Making 10 devices will not be the same as 1M




#### SIZE OF YOUR COMPANY

This is in relation with the risk level you accept to take and the investment you can support



#### THE GEOGRAPHICAL SCOPE

Hardware deployment requires certification, certifications are made per zones. Technology availability also differ per zone.




## Typical IoT Project team

IoT requires a lot of different expertise You can't expect to find in your existing teams if you're not already an IoT company.

These skill are far away from the one you find in a furniture industry. This is a problem for the IoT transformation.

This problem is bigger than in the digital transformation.




All these expertise are rare and far enough apart that no one has more than 2

## IoT is also a question of choice and compromises

Due to the physical world, hardware design and particularly IoT where size, autonomy and price are key elements, you need to makes some compromised on your initial expectations otherwise, the experience is, you will never start your project.

All the directions on the right schema are antagonists



### **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**



## IoT projects are complex

You can make a PoC in a few week but you must not expect the project to be live in a month.



### IoT projects needs rare skills

Many different rare skills are needed. This Is a reason why today most of IoT is tech oriented when it should address Things.




## Compromises are necessary

There are so much constraints in an IoT project that you need to accept compromises. The key factor of success need to correctly be established at the early stages.



## Marketing & communication IoT use-cases





## Yes it is Digital content

Vinyl disk with additional content you can access through a Near Field Contact (NFC).

Create a new end-user experience, mix digital and analogical content and sound.

By adding an ID to any physical device and making it accessible with a Smartphone, you can create a new consumer experience.

It also works for restaurants, bar, hotel... make a quick & easy access to additional services in a simple way.

**Business model**: loyalty and premium services



## PASSIV DEVICE

No maintenance, no end-of-life. No dara.



## BASED ON NFC

NFC is just an ID authenticating the product.



## BACKEND CONTENT

Extend the experience, protect against copy. What is the business model (no extra revenue)?



## Amazon dash button

Place a re-ordering request just by pressing a single button. It has been stopped by Amazon, here are some of the reasons:

- Cost > 5€
- Complex to setup with a smart phone.
- Alexa could do it.

These issues are fixed by LPWAN and ultra-low-cost: you can imagine the product package automatically detecting its level and reordering by itself.

Amazon is deploying Sidewalk, such devices could be back that way.

Business model: commercial lead.











## Low cost device

Cost supported by the brand.

Expose a brand



## RELY ON BLUETOOTH

Complex setup with the smartphone



#### LEAD

#### **MANAGMENT**

Each of the click is generating an Amazon automatic order

## Rover call to action

Rover sent a marketing campaign to 5000 consumers. The user was pushed to click a button to request a car test drive. The response rate has been 48% compared to the usual 5%.

The ecological impact is large vs the efficiency. You can detect the marketing content opening and interaction to place the right call at the right time and get a better conversion rate.

Business model: commercial lead.



Low cost device

As part of a global marketing costs




RELY ON SIGFOX

No settings, just work. But subscription model is a problem currently



LEAD MANAGMENT

One shot operation. No long-term cost to support













#### **Brand protection**

A digital product comes with an application. You can make sure of the source of the digital product when in a purely physical product you can get a perfect copy requiring expertise.

As a brand, you can certify your product origin, your customer will be sure of the provenance. You can also force the copied application to be removed from app marketplaces because they centralized. This is more difficult with manufactured product make in country where you have no legal level to close the factories.

Business model: protect your brand and future revenues.







#### Long life duration

Because toys lifecycles are really long



#### **BLUETOOTH**

For smartphone integration



#### LOYALTY AND BRAND **PROTECTION**

WARNING: CHOKING HAZARD TO

Long life of application with no subscription model. Brand investment more than money making. High quality is required.



#### **Contact tracing**

In case of pandemic, IoT allows a massive contact tracing with a high level of privacy compared to cell-phone solutions.

loT contact tracing can be massively deployed at low cost with no technological requirement compared to smartphone solutions.

The reliability of the radio measures is still the hard part with the associated battery autonomy.

In term of data for statistics and propagation model it is really value added.

**Business model**: state investment / PIB protection





Reduced maintenance, no pre-requisites



Cost, autonomy, no setup, global



#### AT SCALE, SECURED...

Here the platform criticality is high in term of scalability and data sensitivity for the states





#### Périodes d'affluence @





#### Shop, museum frequentation

This information you can easily obtain, in real time, comes from the smartphone you have in your pocket. Any of you is a bit generating the frequentation reports.

This is a highly valuable information for business size, growth estimation, location value, even fiscal control.

**Business model**: Insights generation





Meta-data information captured for other purpose



3GPP

Mainly deployed technology



#### **INSIGHT ONLY**

This is just insight based on data captured for another service. And integrated in multiple services or studies.

#### **Pollutions data**

Measure the pollution, accessing to reliable data is a key element to act and control.

There are so many different type of pollution and getting a measure is expensive, so we have not a lot of sensors deployed.

Year after years, we see lower and lower cost sensors. The ability of the crowed to deployed sensors will make transparency higher. It will also improve the data quality and reduce the ability to bypass the rules.

Business model: making a better world



To be able to be crowed sourced or deployed at scale



Depends of the type of device



**OPEN DATA** 


Allows anyone to access these data and use them for make change happen.








# What are the main technologies in use to make the devices?





### POC Phase – Raspberry Pl



Raspberry PI micro-computers are powerful computers:

- 4 core @ 1.5Ghz
- 2GB to 8GB MEMORY
- WiFi, Bluetooth, Ethernet


But low-cost: 50€

They are offering an environment to execute high level programing languages like C, Java, Ruby, Go, Perl, Python... with the ability to connected sensors.

They are not power efficient, but you can run them on batteries for day to weeks.



#### POC Phase – Arduino



Arduino are accessible low-cost & low-power MCU:

- 1 core @ 16-32Mhz
- 2KB to 20KB MEMORY
- SERIAL PORT

But low-cost: 5€

Thanks to the large ecosystem you can make quick & dirty devices having a long autonomy on batteries (month to years) for a reduced unit price.

Many additional shields and compatible boards are available on the market to avoid electronic design in POC.



#### POC Phase – Best design = no design

The best hardware choice for POC is when you make no hardware



There are plenty of existing devices on the market, basically around 3000-5000 different solutions your can buy and use out-of-the box.

For a PoC phase by using an existing device you save the design time and avoid the risk of field test bad experience due to your inexperience. Standard developers will be more familiar with device API than hardware developments.

Often, the entire IoT solution can be built on already existing devices! That's the best choice for investment, time to market and risk taken.



## POC/POT Phase – 3d design




3D printing and IoT POC and POT phase really works together. For making sample of the device mechanical design as for adapting a packaging to place the electronic circuits, 3D printer solve many IoT designer problems.

This technology is now accessible for less 500€. The main difficulty is to get your engineers able to design what they need of what the project expect.



#### POT – INDUS PHASES




POT and INDUS phase are requiring expertise and a complex work of engineering. If your business is IoT solution, you need to have this team. If IoT is just a solution for improving your regular business, you can rely on an external team. Make sure your have real expert of IoT and not simply a

standard electronic design house. IoT is not M2M, there are power consumption, network expertise and IT integration requiring specific knowledge and experience to be successful.



### POT – INDUS PHASES - Technologies



IoT Brain is usually a Micro-controller: a single chip containing all what a complete computer have: CPU, memory, storage, I/O ports.

The power of the MCU can exactly fit your device needs and cost only what is needed. Price starts from \$0.10 and is

usually around \$2-\$4.

Therefore an electronic design is dedicated to only 1 specific use: each of the components are selected to fit exactly the specific device needs. It reduces the final device cost.



#### POT – INDUS PHASES - Technologies



Second critical component of an IoT device, the communication circuit. It can be:

- a module, all in one solution, including communication protocol, easy to use, already certified but more expensive (\$3-\$15)
- A SiP or SoC, they are module made a different ways,

- more compact but equivalent.
- A transceiver, this is the low-level radio component, the unit price can be from \$0,1 to \$2 but you need a larger investment for the associated engineering.



### POT – INDUS PHASES – Technologies



There are sensors available to get most of the environmental data. Each sensor capture one data. Sometime 2 or 3 when they are related. Each sensor is a dedicated circuit with different characteristics in terms of precision, power consumption,

price.

Sensors can be the main cause of end-device cost, this domain is also moving fast with edge computing and IA inside the sensors.

EDGE COMPUTING AND NEURAL NETWORK (available)

OLIKA

**ULTRA LOW-COST (available)** 

**ULTRA LOW-POWER (to be)** 

Paul Pinault / Disk91.com

More and more sensors includes neural networks.


Optimized algorithm for IoT exists.

Ability to make devices for a final price of \$1 - \$2. This is the enabler of IoT at scale with fleets of devices over 1M.

Ability to make devices powered by the radiofrequency available locally. Consuming only picowatt, without chemistry.

#### **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**





Thanks to the use of common technologies and existing products available on the market



POT and INDUS require expertise

Something you can avoid by using product already existing on the market. Otherwise, build your team or find IoT contractors



IoT device is an optimized solution

IoT design is a good design when it perfectly fit with the expected behavior. It means it is dedicated to that specific use-case.





What are the main technologies in IoT communications?



#### **LOW POWER SHORT RANGE COMMUNICATION**

Frequency: 2.4 -GHz

Tx power: 8dBm / 10mW

Pic current: 16,5mA

Coverage: 15m-30m

Throughput: 1Mb

**Chip price: 1.5€ - 3.5€** 

Duty Cycle: 100%

Rq: v5 can go to 100mW /

300m outdoor

Bluetooth is equipping all modern smartphone and devices can use the Smartphone connections to reach Internet. That way the communication cost seems to be free for the consumers.

Bluetooth can also be used for Smart Home and Smart

Building with long range version of thanks to meshed networks.

You need to know Bluetooth background communications with smartphone is complex to make working and diversity of smartphone is a big issue for Bluetooth IoT designs.

## For home automation



# LOW POWER SHORT RANGE COMMUNICATION

Frequency: 2.4 -GHz

Tx power: 0dBm / 1mW

Pic current: 23 mA

Coverage: 100m / 30m Throughput: 250KBps

Chip price: 5€

Duty Cycle: 100%

Rq: 100mW version exists

for larger coverage

Zigbee and its competitor Z-Wave has been leader in smart home domain. They are not integrated into smartphone and need to have a Gateway to propagate the data to Internet and the central servers. This extra cost limit the application domains, mostly to smart home. Industrial domains also

makes sense.

Zigbee also supports Meshed networks to extends the coverage.

Zigbee technical name: 802.15.4



## For home & Industry big devices



#### **HIGH-POWER SHORT-RANGE COMMUNICATION**

Frequency: 2.4 –GHz (5GHz)

Tx power: 20dBm / 100mW

Pic current: 300 mA

Coverage: 30m

Throughput: 11Mbps (IoT)

Chip price: 1,5€ Duty Cycle: 100%

Rq: 802.11ah (HaLow) has been designed for IoT and operate sub-giga. But it is not really deployed yet.

WiFi have the advantage to be well deployed at Home and in the Industries & services. It have different negative points limiting its usage for IoT.

- The setup complexity
- The pic consumption over 100mA impacting the battery

choice.

- The power consumption requiring large battery charge and short autonomy.
- WiFi requires a local gateway (access point), to communicate to Internet where the backend servers are.

## Communication technologies

### For any low speed IoT context



LOW-POWER, **WIDE-RANGE COMMUNICATION**  Frequency: 868Mhz

915MHz

**2.4GHz** 

Tx power: 14dBm / 25mW

20dBm / 100mW

Pic current: 30mA / 120mA

Coverage: 500m - 10km

Throughput: 5,6Kbps

Chip price: 2€

**Duty Cycle: 1%-100%** 

LoRa is a point to point radio communication solution allowing wide range coverage. Indoor application, device to device are offering around 500m coverage when outdoor usage will reach 10km to 15km. LoRa needs to be connected to a gateway to access Internet and backend service.


LoRa is used in different smart home solutions, speed, bandwidth, power consumption can be adapted regarding the use-cases.

We see some meshed implementation of LoRa rising, allowing to cover cities with crowed sourced network.

# Point to point IoT communications architectures

All the previously seen technologies are not TCP/IP based and able to communicate on Internet.

So we have some common architecture related to these technologies where a locally deployed gateway allow to translate the communications to TCP/IP to interact with the solution platform.



### For autonomous short autonomy IoT



HIGH POWER
HIGH SPEED
LONG RANGE
COMMUNICATION

Frequency: 700MHz –

3.7GHz

Tx power:

2G: 33dBm / 2W

4G: 43dBm / 20W

3G/4G EU: 24dBm / 0.25W

Pic current: 250mA-2,5A

Coverage: 5km – 100km

Throughput: 1Gb Chip price: 3€ - 20€

Duty Cycle: 100%

Technologies from 3GPP consortium are common when you have externally powered device or the ability to recharge the device on regular basis.

This technology allows large amount of data transfer with a global worldwide coverage. There is no usage restriction basically.

The most complex part is to manage the subscription and the SIM or eSIM card with NVNO, Multi-operators, dynamic subscription...

For IoT design, battery cost and module cost will impact the business model with limited value creation.

## For autonomous static IoT with IP



"LOW" POWER
HIGH SPEED
LONG RANGE
COMMUNICATION

Frequency: 700MHz –

**2.6GHz** 

Tx power: 23dBm / 0.20W

Pic current: 250mA

Coverage: 5km

Throughput: 4Mb

Chip price: 10€

Duty Cycle: 100%

#### CAT-M0 / CAT-M1 / CAT-M2

LTE-M is a low power solution for LTE technologies. It has been added in the 4G and it will be improved in the coming 5G.

Basically it allows a device to deep-sleep for a long period of time, then resume quickly on the network for short communications. It works well until the device move out

from the network cell.

LTE-M can be deployed where 4G is, not all country currently support it but it the easier to find worldwide. Fallback to 4G/3G is recommended. Expected energy savings are uncertain.



Sim cards are required to authenticate the device on the network and allow communication.


Sim card belongs to one network operator 1 operator = 1 sim You need multiple if you want to support local communication instead of roaming.
This is possible with eSIM and the ability to upload new SIM from a "technical" operator.


You can also use MVNO (Mobile Virtual Network Operator) managing the roaming negotiation for you and proposing global SIM.

#### **3GPP classical solutions** are IP based

The device can directly communicate over TCP/IP. IoT subscription will sometime require a public IP attribution for a direct communication with the device.

These technical solution also simplify device-to-device communication and massive downlink.





## LPWAN - 3GPP Low Power



# LOW POWER MEDIUM SPEED LONG RANGE COMMUNICATION

Frequency: 800MHz –

**1.8GHz** 

Tx power: 23dBm / 0.20W

Pic current: 250mA

Coverage: 10km

Throughput: 160Kb

Chip price: 5€

Duty Cycle: 100%

#### CAT-NB1 / CAT-NB2

NB-IoT is a low power solution for LTE technologies. It has been added in the 4G and it will be improved in the coming 5G.

NB-IoT is different than LTE technologies and simplify it. This allows to have simplified hardware with a lower cost and

lower power consumption. The number of NB-IoT networks, worldwide, is still low but this is really promising. The main issue is the roaming between operators for devices moving out of the operator coverage.

Coverage is directly related to 4G coverage. A sim is needed.

# LPWAN – For deep IoT



LOW POWER
LOW SPEED
LONG RANGE
SECURED
COMMUNICATION

Frequency: 169MHz

Tx power: 27dBm / 0.5W

Pic current: 500mA

Coverage: 50km

Throughput: 2.4-6.4Kbps

**Chip price: 5€** 

Duty Cycle: 10%

Last technology rising on the LPWAN area, Wize has been pushed by Suez and GRDF to support the water and gaz counters telemetry. For this reason a particular attention has been made on communication encryption. The 169MHz choice made this network really fitting with deep indoor

communication. The coverage is limited to France, Spain, Portugal, Italie, UK, Moroco, Algeria but the real coverage out of main cities is currently unclear.

The technology is new, the ecosystem still limited, this could be promizing for smart city & smart building.

# LPWAN – Private IoT networks



# LOW POWER LOW SPEED LONG RANGE COMMUNICATION

Frequency: 868Mhz

915MHz

2.4GHz

Tx power: 14dBm / 25mW

20dBm / 100mW

Pic current: 30mA / 120mA

Coverage: 10km

Throughput: 5,6Kbps

Chip price: **5€** 

Duty Cycle: 1%

LoRaWAN is a network implementation of LoRa technology. It can be used with public and private networks.

Public(nation wide) deployments are really limited over the world and the main use concerns private deployment. The network cost is low (gateways starts at 70€). Some crowdsourced networks like TTN or Helium also complete

the public offering. France have 2 LoRaWan public networks with nationwide coverage. This is an exception.

It is the only LPWAN you can use without a subscription business mode. The complex software stack requires a strong MCU.



# LOW POWER LOW SPEED LONG RANGE COMMUNICATION

Frequency: 868Mhz

915MHz

Tx power: 14dBm / 25mW

20dBm / 100mW

Pic current: 30mA / 120mA

Coverage: 40km

Throughput: 100/600bps

**Chip price: 0.2€ - 4€** 

Duty Cycle: 1%

Sigfox is a radio technology (UNB) and a public network operator operating a SDR radio network.

The asymmetry of the technology allows and long-range performance for simple transceiver.

This is the first technology to enable the Ultra Low Cost IoT

(finished devices under \$1)

The key differentiator of Sigfox is being the only one worldwide network operator. Sigfox is a single network with a single network server.

Sigfox is a French company.

## Satellites for global coverage



**LOW POWER LOW SPEED GLOBAL COMMUNICATION** 

Frequency: 399Mhz-**401MHz Worldwide** 

Tx power: 100mW 20dBm

2W - 33dBm

**Coverage: Global** 

Throughput: 200bps -

4.8kbps

Chip price: 15-30€

Duty Cycle: 1.6%

Kineis is the currently most advanced satellite fleet deployed. It relies on Argos / CNES satellites and his soon launching 25 new satellites to propose a revisit time around 15 minutes. It already propose a global coverage with an average revisit time of 1.5 hour in 2021.

See also -

https://www.disk91.com/2021/technology/internet-ofthings-technology/satellites-iot-is-now-ready-for-use-withkineis/

## **Technologies** Are NOT in competition

They are addressing different use-case, with different total cost approach related to speed and power consumption.

NB-IoT High End Devices Sigfox & LoRaWan Low cost **Devices** Sigfox Lower \$1 Devices Low Speed Devices

LTE-M

FOTA, Video, Voice, Realtime data, IP based

Power source, energy harvesting, rechargeable batteries...

Monitoring, Tracking


Battery, energy harvesting

High Speed Devices

#### Sigfox LoRaWan

**Low-cost devices** 

More than the technical difference to serve a specific use-case we can generally tweek, the choice is related to the targeted deployment scope and model.



109

Author – Paul Pinault / Disk91.com

NB-IoT, Wize, LoRaWAN, SIGFOX are the key player of the IoT revolution

They are the

LPWAn

Low-Power
Wide Area

Networks

# LPWAN are the revolution solving this dilemma:

Low-Power Transmission



Long-Range (wide area)



Long autonomy (in years)



(1 country deployed costs the price of 1 big city with 4G)

Low cost subscriptions




# LPWAN have a common architecture

The devices messages are captured by multiple antennas around.

The antennas forward the messages to a network server owned by the network operator (private or public)

Then the network server transfers the payload to the custom backend, eventually, roam it to another network server.



# IoT everywhere with satellites

(Argos)



GLOBAL COVERAGE
LOW POWER
COMMUNICATION



(LoRaWan)

Multiple IoT operators are looking to the sky to provide a global coverage, particularly on ocean and desertic zones. With a fleet of 15-20 satellites you can cover the world with a communication capability every 10 - 15 minutes for the devices. For Sigfox the objective is to propose device able to communicate with satellite and terrestrial network, all in

one. Lacuna and Kineis are satellite only.
The challenge is the synchronization with sats.
Compared to Facebook, Google, Musk project requiring advanced transceiver, the IoT solution are really simple and use simple, not motorized, antennas.

# **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**







# There is a large range of technologies

They are not really in competition as they are addressing different type of <u>loT and different use-cases</u>.

# The architecture depends on the technology

The technology determines the architecture and the running costs

# LPWAn are the IoT revolution

LPWAn are key enabler for Low Power, Low Cost lot allowing IoT at scale = IoT in all the things








How to process the IoT **Data? Common** architecture, technologies...






Main components of an IoT platform

An IoT platform is a complex IT architecture where some of the components are highly critical like the "Integration" layer

All the processing layers must be ready for Big Data and scalable.



# **CLOUD PLATFORMS AS A REQUIREMENT**



The integration layer can't stop, at any time, because IoT will never pause the transmissions and large Things fleets will always transmit data (by opposition to classical Human activities)

subject to scale.

This requires 0 down time capabilities

This requires infinite scalability capabilities

The power of IoT is to consolidate and process the full

history of data making the processing bigger and bigger

even with a stable fleet of devices. The Thing's fleet is also



#### **CLUSTERING**

Ability to dynamically split processing activities between different units.

Loss of 1 or more units will only change the activity balancing to working nodes

## **BLUE/GREEN DEPLOYMENTS**

Ability to upgrade on of the component of the architecture without stopping the systems. Usually comes with clustering, container orchestration.

#### **HIGH AVAILABILITY & DRP**

Ability to move or restart any component of the architecture, transparently in case of datacenter / hardware / software failure.

## ALL OF THIS REQUIRES A HIGH LEVEL OF ENGINEERING

Therefore, the IoT platforms are usually implemented on top of a Cloud environment, offering them features



# INFINITE SCALLING CAPABILITIES

#### **CLUSTERING**

Ability to dynamically add new processing node to a computing engine.
Ability to support linear processing time in regard of the number of nodes.

# COMPUTING RESOURCE ON DEMAND

Ability to scale the processing engine on demand, to extends the capability in a large order of magnitude progressively or just for a couple of hours.

#### PRICE LINEARITY

Ability to scale the architecture and process capability with a linear progression of the costs, whatever the scale.


## ALL OF THIS REQUIRES A HIGH LEVEL OF ENGINEERING

Therefore, the IoT platforms are usually implemented on top of a Cloud environment, offering them features



# Main cloud concepts

Using a cloud environment for you IoT platform will reduce your need of expertise on the critical infra components. Cloud is providing a certain level of management and associated SLA depending on the level you select.






Use of Cloud for loT platforms

Most of the components will take a benefit of a PaaS approach.

Integration layer to the data visualization are Out-of-the-box existing PaaS pattern ready to be deployed in a single click in most of the Cloud providers.







B2C IoT requires to register user and these data are personal data.

Furthermore, IoT is capturing data, personal data like for a geolocation system. Heath data when recording activities, heart rate...

# **ANONYMIZATION**

To be reused in multiple secondary business, the anonymization of the data is a key point. This is also a good way to keep the personal and health data for a long time. Personal data can't be.

# **ENCRYPTION**

To ensure data protection, encryption is a state-of-the-art solution.

It is also a protection against data leak: your IoT data are an asset, the value of this assets comes from scarcity - don't be your own competitor.

# **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**





Due to the criticality of the data integration



The Cloud providers can be the solution solution

Complex infrastructure are easier when managed by experts




Iot Data are sensitives assets you need to protect

So you can focus on your data protection which have a larger value for your business

# **Data Integration**

This component is highly critical and can be implemented in different ways






## **SOLUTION 1 PUSH - HTTP Integration (Callback or Webhooks)**

The Network server calls an API on the Integration Layer on every message received / on every seconds when messages have been received.

#### Common technical solutions:


- API Cluster with backup or multiple sites.
- FaaS (Function as a service)



This solution is fully synchronous. As this kind of solution presenting a risk of data loss, there is a backup solution with an asynchronous synchronization mechanism.



This component is highly critical and can be implemented in different ways





# **SOLUTION 2 PUSH – Message Queue based integration**


The Network server push messages over a broker managing message queues. That way, the communication is asynchronous between the Network server and the Data integration layer.

#### Common technical solutions:

- Use of MQTT (most frequent with IoT)

MQTT is a lightweight queuing protocol over TCP managing quality of service. It works well as a cluster.

TCP/IP ready devices can directly implement MQTT communication to report data. This is working will well with low quality networks (like cellular networks).




**SOLUTION 4** 

The application request status of device and extract data from the Network server with API calls. That way the application integration layer is not critical.

PULL – API CALL ON THE NETWORK SERVER

This solution is not really recommended until you have large fleets because it creates a time rupture between device's message reception and message processing. So you are not real time anymore.


When your device fleets becomes large and you have message on every seconds, this integration way makes sense to preserve the application resources and reduce the criticality of the integration layer.





#### **CONCLUSION INTEGRATION LAYER**

The way the integration layer is implemented depends on the technical solution offered by the Network Server. It also depends on the fleet size and the frequency of the messages you need to integrated.



This component is


be implemented in

different ways

highly critical and can

# REAL-TIME, EVENT PROCESSING

The integrated data is then process, clean, enriched in real time, because users want to see it immediately.






#### **Solution 1 - Message Queuing**

One of the problem to solve is the fluctuation of the workload depending on the sensor communications. This workload is composed by a series of messages to process the same way, individually.


One of the pattern is to transform each of the sensor communication in a message send to a Queue. This queue will be consumed and process by the next layer, asynchronously. Scalability is easy to manage as concurrency.

The second problem to solve is the ability to reprocess the whole history because you changed some of the intermediate processing. For the same reasons, this solution is also efficient.



# REAL-TIME, EVENT PROCESSING

The integrated data is then process, clean, enriched in real time, because users want to see it immediately.





#### **Solution 2 – EVENT SOURCING**


Event sourcing is working a similar way with a major difference. In a Message queue architecture, the message is going in and out but not stored. Updating the broker or scaling the broker itself can be a problem. In production the broker can also become saturated if some consumer are dead or undersized to process the messages. Dynamically changing the process tree can also be an issue.

Event sourcing (product like Kafka) is solving this issue with a more scalable architecture. The integrated producer / consumer approach, working directly on the data flow is also very efficient.

History re-processing is also native




**PRODUCER** 





Because once refined you lost information and future value.





#### STORE THE RAW DATA

DATA is an asset, every time to modify the raw data, you loose a part of the information. Even if at a certain time you think not to do it, later you may discover you were.

Furthermore, it is recommended to keep your processing chain to be able to recompute everything from the first day. It means, the computation data source will be the RAW DATA.

The reason is: more data you have, more insight you create. Most insight will learn from the past and create value even in the past.

This RAW DATA volume, over the years will take more and more space and some specific technologies could be required. Some technology are use for this.

- Kafka: scalable event store
- Hadoop: scalable file storage
- Mongo DB: scalable NoSQL Databases

The advantages of NoSql database compared to standard SQL database is the native clustering mode but also the ability to mix different sort of message payload in a common repository. Sensors messages will evolve with versions over time, you need to anticipate this.

# **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**







# Many patterns exist to support the data integration

You need to design the solution based on your target volume of data and fleet size

# All the technologies are cluster and scalable


That's a key factor of success for a critical component of the solution

# Be prepared to reprocess everything

Your future business will require data processing you can't imagine today.



Data Science analyze data and propose solution for Insights calculation.
Classical BI allow to display results.





#### **Laboratory for your data**

Data Science experiment the data to create added value insight, performant neuronal networks or statistical studies.


The data science work is growing with the size of the dataset and the number of market your solution can address.

The classical tools for data scientists are:

- R or Python development environment
- Parquet like columnar storage format
- BI reporting environment to create dashboard
- Neural networks execution platform (GPU...)
- Large access to the raw data, enriched data and external data.

# Extract value from DATA

Data Science analyze data and propose solution for Insights calculation.
Classical BI allow to display results.





#### Your product is here

An IoT solution should only distribute Insights and not the Raw data:

- Because its role is to create a proper value
- Because giving raw data makes its value going down to 0.

An Insight is basically the industrialization of the data-scientist work. It must be computed in real time when new data have been received.


Insights are also computed in batch when new Insights are created or upgraded.

To support a large computation of different Insights on a full history, the Insight computation platform must be highly scalable. Cloud platforms are part of the solution.

- Solutions like FaaS is a good solution for real-time and scalable computation.
- Apache Spark is also a good solution for scalable computation.



An IoT solution have different ways to distribute its Insights but the more scalable and common are API.





## How you sell it is here – think API first

Today the market maturity for API still low but the future of IoT Market is API.

The way to distribute an Insight is an API and your customer will continue to add value on your Insight integrating them is a vertical business or by crossing them with other Insights.

This will be automatically processed in real-time thanks to APIs.

So the way you build your web & mobile integration must rely on API: you must be the first consumer of your API product.

This comes with important technical components and platforms:

- API Management
  - Ensure the security and control of you API
  - Allow the billing on your API
- Developer portal & experience
  - Make your product easy to use
  - Document your product
- MQTT broker (or other pull solution)
  - As there are good reasons to prefer a push integration to a pull integration

Even if API is your goal, do not forget market maturity for API is low and you may have to export CSV and other batched flat files ... So you need to think about **ETL**, **sFTP**... technology also.

# **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**



Insights are the value proposition



They are created on a data science environment



Then industrialized to be computed and exposed over API



# DEVICE MANAGEMENT A KEY CUSTOM COMPONENT



EACH OF THE
SENSORS WILL
HAVE ITS UNIQUE
FIELD EXPERIENCE

One in the field, each of the device will be impacted differently in the environment. This will impact its autonomy. It can make it not working or working partially. The communication conditions will also vary a lot.

The more terrible things for an IoT project is when you need to modify the hardware on field. Being able to configure or update a device remotely is important to reduce the risks. This need to be manage centrally the configuration and being able to push and follow upgrade deployments.

LOG, LOG! IDENTIFY WEAK SIGNALS

MANAGE CONFIGURATION & UPDATES

# DEVICE MANAGEMENT IS CUSTOM

There is no mature software solution to manage a fleet of devices. It is a question of IoT maturity and because this is specific to each of the technology.

This is also impacting the device design.



#### **DEVICE CONFIGURATION**

Anything you can configure need to be configurable from the Device Management platform. Configuration history is an important information. Device inventory is the starting point.



#### **SHADOW CONFIGURATION**

A remote device will not immediately apply its new configuration. It can take days for devices not online. It can also be done over multiple communication requiring multiple days. During that time, the configuration need to be consistent and traced.



#### **DEVICE LOGS & ALARMS**

To understand the device condition of use and history, you need to measure extra parameters. Environmental temperature is important for many things like batteries. Specific events (like a reboot) are mandatory to trace.



#### **DEVICE UPGRADE**

Not all the network technologies allow a remote firmware upgrade. Lower the energy consumption is and lower the capabilities are. Device upgrade is not mandatory, but you need to consider it and established a plan B.




#### DASHBOARD YOUR DEVICE FLEET MANAGEMENT

Device fleet management is an expensive but mandatory par of your IoT solution. Without it it is impossible to scale. You need to build an efficient solution for this.

The device management platform also supports the device life cycle.

This is about process: deploy a fleet of IoT devices is a supply chain & logistic question



# **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**

1

2

3

# A fleet of IoT devices must be managed

It supports the full device cycle management. IoT device is a product you distribute, as any product company

# This requires a dedicated tools

It's a kind of ERP, but compared to usual product, that one is communicating, and its interest starts once you move it out of your warehouse.

# To reduce the industrial accident's risk once in the field

Because if you need to fix anything in the field it will usually cost more than the cost of deploying a new fleet...

# OUT-OF-THE-BOX IoT Platform

An IoT platform is a complex piece of software. Designing it for a project with uncertainty or a small size could be too expensive or complex.

You can find IoT platform available on the market covering partially the listed features, purchased per devices.



## Why not using them?

They are usually focusing on data visualization with limited Insight computation capability. The key features about device management are usually not implemented or insufficient. Platform is the heart of your service.




#### So, What are they targeting?

They are perfect for small fleets of devices (<1000) with limited value addition, like simple tracking platforms. However, you should store your raw data outside in parallel.



#### Why else, using them?

Get online quickly for the PoC, PoT phases, make the direct value demonstration easily with limited investments.










# Trust in IoT?







## **Device Identity**

Make sur the physical device is the one you think it is.

## Data Signature

Make the data has been signed by the right device

## **Data protection**

Protect clear data in case of steal, loss, made public, accessible to competitors, foreign intelligence...

## **Data integrity**

Make sure the IoT Data has not been deleted, corrupted, inserted after all

# **X**

# IoT data trust is not a mature area


Most of the focus is given on the transmission and the telecom mechanism are employed to trust the whole chain. But this is not working.

Main reason are: why trusting a temperature data? IoT market is not yet solution oriented.

## Where the concepts apply

From where to where the previous concept should apply

Device identity / Data Signature / Data integrity / Data Protection



## Where the concepts are currently deployed





# These two concepts basically works altogether:

- The device identity is also the way to sign the data.
- We need to make sure the identity can't be transferred.
- Identity is public
- Signature is based on a secret

1

#### **IDENTITY**

Identity can be provided by:

- QR-CODE / BAR-CODE
- RFID
- NFC
- MCU / SENSORS BURNED ID
- SECURE ELEMENT reproduced

Static / Easy to use / Easy for usurpation Static – Dynamic / Possible usurpation

Static – Dynamic / Hardened

Static – Dynamic / Complex to reproduce

Static – Dynamic / Made to not being

# DEVICE IDENTITY & DATA SIGNATURE

# These two concepts basically works altogether:

- The device identity is also the way to sign the data.
- We need to make sure the identity can't be transferred.
- Identity is public
- Signature is based on a secret



#### **IDENTITY**

Identity can be provided by:


- QR-CODE / BAR-CODE Static / Easy to use / Easy for usurpation

  RFID Static Dynamic / Possible usurpation
- NFC Static Dynamic / Hardened
- MCU / SENSORS BURNED ID Static Dynamic / Complex to reproduce
  - SECURE ELEMENT Static Dynamic / Made to not be reproduced

#### Stage 1 – public IDS / no protection



#### Stage 2 – public IDs + shared secret





# These two concepts basically works altogether:

- The device identity is also the way to sign the data.
- We need to make sure the identity can't be transferred.
- Identity is public
- Signature is based on a secret

1

#### **IDENTITY**

Identity can be provided by:

QR-CODE / BAR-CODE Static / Easy to use / Easy for usurpation Static — Dynamic / Possible usurpation

NFC Static – Dynamic / Hardened

MCU / SENSORS BURNED ID Static – Dynamic / Complex to reproduce

SECURE ELEMENT Static – Dynamic / Made to not be reproduced

# Stage 3 – Identification based on processing





# These two concepts basically works altogether:

- The device identity is also the way to sign the data.
- We need to make sure the identity can't be transferred.
- Identity is public
- Signature is based on a secret

2

#### **SIGNATURE**

Signature Key source

- Commissioned during production process
- Commissioned on setup
- Commissioned during the first communications
- MCU / SENSORS BURNED ID Static Dynamic / Complex to reproduce
- SECURE ELEMENT Static Dynamic / Made to not being reproduced

- Signature is only known by the Service Provider
- Signature is securely stored on the Service Provider side
- Signature is securely stored on the device side according to data sensitivity.
- Signature signs the data
- Signature is per object and can serve different purpose like identity.
- Signature is unique
- Signature process supports small frames and reduced processing capabilities (no certificates...)
- Signature has no expiration; renewal process is possible but complex.

# DATA PROTECTION

This concept ensure a third party will not be able to capture, still, copy the data.

3

#### **DATA PROTECTION**

Encryption mechanisms

- Device to Platform encryption is needed to protect the data.
- Raw data should be kept encrypted as much as possible even in the IoT platform.
- Encryption keys are secrets not accessible from any means, according to the device access potential risk
- Different encryption protocol can be integrated inside the device to ensure protection over device lifetime.
- Key generation is random
- Key renewal is a plus

- Encryption is END-TO-END.
- Do not trust the communication encryption layer
- Use unique encryption keys per devices
- Only Service provider knows the encryption keys
- Encryption keys can't be retrieved from the device
- Encryption keys size and processing is compatible with short frame and short processing capacity
- Encryption supports frame loss



This concept ensure a third party will not be able to capture, still, copy the data.

# 3

#### **DATA PROTECTION**

Encryption mechanisms

- Device to Platform encryption is needed to protect the data.
- Raw data should be kept encrypted as much as possible even in the IoT platform.
- Encryption keys are secrets not accessible from any means, according to the device access potential risk
- Different encryption protocol can be integrated inside the device to ensure protection over device lifetime.
- Key generation is random
- Key renewal is a plus

- Encryption is END-TO-END.
- Do not trust the communication encryption layer
- Use unique encryption keys per devices
- Only Service provider knows the encryption keys
- Encryption keys can't be retrieved from the device
- Encryption keys size and processing is compatible with short frame and short processing capacity
- Encryption supports frame loss



This concept ensures the data will later be able to be proven as legit: no addition, no removal, no modifications



#### **DATA INTEGRITY**

Blockchain mechanisms

- Blockchain solutions allows to record data and ensure no modification of the data after being registered into it.
  - IOTA blockchain is an example of solution providing a such use case.

- Prove the sequence of the communications
- Make sure they can't be modified at any time later
- There is no need to store the data itself in the chain or store it in clear text
- Frame sequence reduce insertion / deletion risk at reception time (but the networks are subject to loss and this is not a proof at the end)








IoT is finger pointed for lack of security, What is the truth, what to do?




# IoT Solution attack surface

IoT security usually focus on the device security.
An IoT Solution is a wide range of components where security rules apply all


along.





# Attack distance weighting

Distance between the targeted element and the attacker determines the risk level and its impact. Each of the component have different level of risk that way.



# This is not an IoT: this is computer with a camera. You need to secure it like a server



DIRECTLY ACCESSIBLE FROM INTERNET, WORLDWIDE

USUALLY RUNNING AN OUTDATED OPERATING SYSTEM

WITH A POOR
SOFTWARE QUALITY
NEVER MAINTAINED

Why? Because it is not an IoT Solution, You've just bought hardware!

# Device layer attack

You need a physical access to the device or a near proximity. Bluetooth, Serial port ... are common vectors.



#### HOW?


Device Update mechanism, physical manipulation, hardware modification and addition are the classical way to attack an IoT device.



#### WHY?

Ransomware, destruction, competition, data thief ...

Because it's possible or because it's cool to talk about IoT security







Everything in the thermostat runs with **root** privileges. "We got command injection by the <u>SD card</u>, so it was a local attack," Tierney explained. "With root, you can set off alarm (and set the frequency very high) and can heat and cool at the same time."

While this was a local attack, it also isn't impossible to pull this off without gaining physical access to the device. The thermostat owner can use the SD card to load custom settings or wallpaper



# DEVICE SECURITY IS PART OF DESIGN

As a device maker, you need to define the security expectations.

Security engineering cost is high and can only be handle on project start.

Define the right level, not the highest level

**Practice pen-test!** 



#### **CONTRACT FOR MAINTENANCE**

Make sure your device firmware will be maintained by an internal team or an external team. Protect budget for this.

2

#### **EXPECT ENCRYPTION FOR ALL KEYS**

Any key use inside the device need to be secured. Local storage is usually sure enough, Secure elements are more for paranoid or IP protection.

3

#### **EXPECT ENCRYPTION FOR ALL COMMUNICATIONS**

Any communication shall be encrypted by default. The engineering cost at start is low. Each of the devices must use different credential. Potentially integrate different encryption solution as your device may be live for 10 years (think about WEP)

4

#### **MANAGE YOUR IDS**

Every device must have different IDs, ensure they are not sequential, not visible from outside the packaging... Make sur a successful physical attack on one device will not allow remote access on all other devices.



#### PROTECT FIRMWARE AND REMOVE DEBUG BACK DOOR

It is common to have an unprotected device with firmware possible download or developer backdoor for debugging phase. Ensure you close them all.

# IoT Network Attack

This kind of attack is possible short range and allow a certain level of security for the attacker. Depending o, device feature you can steal data or gain control of the devices.



#### HOW?

By listening the device communication as radio wave are accessible for all.

By faking the device or network to communicate with device or IoT platform



#### WHY?

market

Get access to industrial secrets, track people or assets. Destroy industrial machine, rob a house...
Also to attack you brand is a competitive





Listening radio wave is nothing you can prevent.

LoRaWan is easy to listen but the traffic is encrypted... until it's broken

Sigfox is complex to listen (existing solution only work around 2 meters)... until it is becoming easy.



#### **APPLY THE NETWORK ENCRYPTION CAPABILITIES**

All the modern radio solution have an encryption layer. You need to enable it or request developers to consider it.

2

#### **NEVER CONSIDER NETWORK ENCRYPTION SECURED**

Even if these solutions are secured today, nothing make you sure it won't change in the device lifetime duration (10 years). Just think about WEP ...

3

#### IMPLEMENT YOU OWN END-TO-END ENCRYPTION

Add an applicative END-TO-END encryption. This will add a protection if the network standard is broken. This will also protect your data against a Network Server data leak. (more probable than a device being listen, with larger impact)

4

#### MANAGE ERRORS AND WEAK SIGNALS

There are multiple ways to attack a system from the network. You should track weak signals like communication loss, reboot, sequence rupture, frame with invalid key received...

## **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**

1

2

3

SECURITY IS PART OF THE DESIGN & COSTS


SELECT THE RIGHT LEVEL
OF SECURITY

ENCRYPT EVERYTHING SENSITIVE

Ensure you manage the security at the beginning of the project

Identify the risk exposure, find a good compromize and trace your decisions

There is no reason to not encrypt local keys, communications... so just do it







# ISM 868MHz Band European regulation Freedom comes with responsibilities





# **Shared radio** band

SIGFOX & LoRaWan are using ISM bands, they are free for use in condition you respect rules defined by the regulation. This regulation differ in the different zones. The purpose is the same, share the radio band in a balanced way between the user. In Europe, the rule is to limit communication to 1% of the time per device. In North America it's to not transmit on the same channel for more than a given time.



### 865Mhz-870 Hz



European regulation is defined by different laws like ERC-REC-70-03E for EUROPE and the application in FRANCE is based on ARCEP 2012-0612 and 2014-1263 published on JORF 30/01/2015.

It limits the transmission time for any equipment to a certain percentage of the time during a sliding hour. This proportion of time depends on the frequency band. This is what we named DUTY-CYCLE. This is the percentage value in the above description. It also limit the transmission power.

ISM band are free of use and shared between many different type of devices. The regulation ensure a fair-use of these bands.

Regulation uses different concepts for applying the fair-use principles.

- Duty Cycle
- Maximum effective radiated power



#### **DUTY CYCLE**

Is the percentage of time a device can transmit over a certain radiofrequency band during a rolling hour.

1% Duty Cycle means a maximum transmission of 36s during the running hour.



#### **EFFECTIVE RADIATED POWER**

ERP measures the combination of the power emitted by the transmitter and the ability of the antenna to direct that power in a given direction. It is basically the maximum power an IoT device can deliver. The unit is in mW or dB.



#### **EFFECTIVE ISOTROPIC RADIATED POWER**

EIRP is an equivalent of ERP but considering an isotropic antenna when ERP is half wave dipole antenna. Basically, we have the following formula:

EIRP = 1.64 \* ERP in Watt / EIRP = ERP + 2.15 in dBm



#### **DECIBEL MILLIWATT**

Power unit uses in radio wave communications. P(dBm) = 10\*log10(P(mW) / 1 mW)

1mW	10mW	25mW	100mW	500mW	1W
0dBm	10dBm	14dBm	20dBm	27dBm	30dBm

ISM band are free of use and shared between many different type of devices. The regulation ensure a fair-use of these bands.

#### **Main bands in Europe:**

- 169 MHz
- 433 MHz
- 868 MHz
- 2.4 GHz

#### **Usable bands for LoRaWan**

- 433 MHz
- 868 MHz
- 2.4 GHz

**Standard band for LoRaWan** is 868 MHz in Europe

433 MHz

#### 433 MHz is limited to 10mW

This is limiting the coverage. 433MHz also requiring larger antennas. This band allow 10% duty cycle, but this is impacting the network scalability. 433MHz gateways are not the norms. European public networks are on 868 MHz.

The better indoor penetration gain is lost by the reduced transmission power. It can make sense in short range deep indoor loT where you want to save energy with a better penetration and lower transmission power.

868 MHz

#### **EUROPEAN STANDARD**

This is the main frequency used in Europe for LoRaWan networks. This frequency is common to European countries and a part of Africa and Middle East. North/South America, Asia are using different frequencies.

2.4 GHz

#### INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

LoRaWan now support 2.4GHz for international applications. This band can be use in most of the countries with common regulation rules. This band has a lower indoor penetration and reduced coverage. For these reason it is not the preferred choice currently to deploy networks.

ISM band are free of use and shared between many different type of devices. The regulation ensure a fair-use of these bands.

#### **Main bands in Europe:**

- 169 MHz
- 433 MHz
- 868 MHz
- 2.4 GHz

#### **Usable bands for LoRaWan**

- 433 MHz (China)
- 868 MHz (EU + Africa)
- 2.4 GHz (WW)
- 915 MHz (America/Asia)

Standard band for LoRaWan is 868 MHz in Europe

433

MHz

#### 433 MHz is limited to 10mW

This is limiting the coverage. 433MHz also requiring larger antennas. This band allow 10% duty cycle, but this is impacting the network scalability. 433MHz gateways are not the norms. European public networks are on 868 MHz.

The better indoor penetration gain is lost by the reduced transmission power. It can make sense in short range deep indoor loT where you want to save energy with a better penetration and lower transmission power.

868 MHz

#### **EUROPEAN STANDARD**

This is the main frequency used in Europe for LoRaWan networks. This frequency is common to European countries and a part of Africa and Middle East.

915 MHz

#### **AMERICAN STANDARD**

902-920MHz is the FCC (USA + CANADA) standard frequency, South America and Asia are also using frequencies around 900MHz but they are not complying FCC.


# 2.4 GHz

#### **INTERNATIONAL STANDARD**

LoRaWan now support 2.4GHz for international applications. This band can be use in most of the countries with common regulation rules. This band has a lower indoor penetration and reduced coverage. For these reason it is not the preferred choice currently to deploy networks.



## CEPT / ERC-REC-7003E



IoT networks & devices must comply to regulation in term of transmission power and fairuse.





# **OFCOM / IR2030**

863 00 MH <sup>2</sup>	
200.00	25mW 0.1% Duty Cycle
865.UU IVIHZ	25mW
865.60 MHz	1% Duty Cycle
865.80 MHz	Mars.
866.20 MHz	500mW 70%
866.40 MHz	2.5% / 10%
866.80 MHz	500mW
867.00 MHz	2.5% / 10%
867.40 MHz	500mW
867.60 MHz	2.5% / 10%
868.00 MHz	
	25mW
	1% Duty Cycle
868.60 MHz	
868 70 MH <sup>2</sup>	) F co.) A/
7	0.1% Duty
	Cycle
869.20 MHz	
869.30 MHz	10mW
	10% Duty Cycle
869.40 IVIHZ	500mW
	10% Duty Cycle
869.65 MHz	
869.70 MHz	25mW
	1% Duty Cycle
	5mW no DC
870.00 MHz	

OFCOM follows CEPT recommendation with some interesting particularities for IoT networks



#### **FCC Fair-Use Rules**

ISM band are free of use and shared between many different type of devices. The regulation ensure a fair-use of these bands.

Regulation uses different concepts for applying the fair-use principles.

- Channel Hoping
- Maximum time over the air
- Minimum time before reusing a channel
- Transmission power



#### **CHANNEL HOPPING**

This is the number of different channel a device MUST use over the different communications. FCC requires to use more than 50 different channels. LoRaWan uses 64 channels.

### MT OTA

#### **MAXIMUM TIME OVER THE AIR**

The regulation have a maximum communication time over a single channel at 400ms. Consequently, SF12/SF11 can't be used in US915. The maximum payload size in SF10 is 10 bytes.

### MTB RC

#### MINIMUM TIME BEFORE REUSING CHANNEL

This is the time to wait before being able to reuse a channel. 20 seconds. With 64 channels, and 400ms on each, you can continuously transmit (no duty cycle). With 8 channels, you need to apply a duty-cycle about 16%.




#### TRANSMISSION POWER

Transmission power for uplink and downlink is limited to 27dBm but usually 20dBm is implemented as the regulation authorize to have less than 64 channels when the transmission power is lower than 21dBm.

RX2

## FCC / 902MHz - 928MHz



IoT networks & devices must comply to regulation in term of transmission power and fair-use. With 8 channels gateways, only 1/8 of the frames are received. Multiple transmissions are required.


Transmission budget link impacts the coverage but also the device power consumption and therefore the long-term maintenance.

Device using an antenna with gain can reduce its transmission power.

Device with a negative antenna gain will increase power.

Gateway antenna gain will offer a larger TX budget link and extends the reception coverage.

But the transmission will have to be reduced to stay in the maximum of 500mW ERP.









# LPWAN Sigfox & LoRaWan Technologies in detail.



# 2 French technologies





3



- Created in TOULOUSE (FRANCE) in 2009
- FRANCE fully covered since 2013
- Found rising
  - 15M€ in 2014
  - 100M€ in 2015
  - 150M€ in 2016
- Hardware device solution from most of the silicon vendors
- 72 countries deployed and seen as a single global network (as of Nov. 2020)

- Created in GENOBLE (FRANCE) in 2009
- Acquired by SEMTECH in 2012 for a price range between 5M\$ and 25M\$
- SEMTECH is a Silicon vendor with an exclusivity. 1 licence acquired by St Microelectronics.
- LoRaWan 1.0 released in 2015
- Deployed by about only 5 telecom company nation wide.
- Thousands of private networks
  - TTN crowdsourced global network
  - HELIUM crowdsourced global network as a blockchain

# French technologies now American



- Created in GENOBLE (FRANCE) in 2009
- Acquired by SEMTECH in 2012 for a price range between 5M\$ and 25M\$
- SEMTECH is a Silicon vendor with an exclusivity.
- 1 license acquired by St Microelectronics.




- A norm to build a network with LoRa technology
- LoRaWan 1.0 released in 2015
- Deployed by about only 5 telecom company nation wide.
- Thousands of private networks
- Public crowdsourced networks
  - TTN crowdsourced global network, free of use, 20K gateways
  - HELIUM crowdsourced global network as a blockchain, low cost, 100K hotspots

#### LPWAN have a common architecture

The devices messages are captured by multiple antennas around.

The antennas forward the messages to a network server owned by the network operator (private or public)


Then the network server transfers the payload to the custom backend, eventually, roam it to another network server.




# LPWAN have a common architecture

Sigfox is a particular case with a World-Wide network and a single Network Server

70 countries1.1B people










Compared to classical communication network, LPWAN are using non connecter mode. It means a device can deep sleep for month, wake up, fire a message and back to sleep.

This means a lot of power saving and a strong resilience against jamming.

Network do not have edge access control, but centralized control managed by network server.

# Save power: don't be connected

## 2 different ways to reach a single target



#### SigFox – Ultra Narow Band

Have a signal on the smallest possible bandwidth to pass over the noise

#### LoRa - Spread spectrum

Use a large radio band to pass through the noise without loosing the signal



# Is an asymmetric technology

The technology use for transmitting data is simple when the technology required to receives Sigfox messages is highly complex and based on Software Defined Radio.





Transmission and reception are based on the same technology and complexity level.

868.2

-20

-40


-60

868.0

868.1



# The radio technology is totally different for reaching the same goal





## **LEARNING AT THIS STEP**

1

2

3

# LPWAN SIGFOX & LoRa ARE 11 YEARS OLD NOW

Both has been created in FRANCE and now deployed all over the World

## THEY RELY ON ISM BAND TO BE DEPLOYED AT LOW COST

This means respecting regulation rules in place to share the ISM band between all the different technologies using it.

## THE TECHNOLOGIES BEHIND ARE DIFFERENT

But they are reaching the same goal: allowing to communicate over long distance with a minimum of energy









## Sigfox, one loT network to cover the entire World





## An asymmetric network

The technology use for transmitting data is simple when the technology required to receives Sigfox messages is highly complex.





### Simplicity and efficiency





### ISM BAND (free of access)

Use of 868MHz band in Europe, Africa. 902MHz -920 MHz in North, South America and Asia. In each of them the exact frequencies differ.



### FIXED PACKET LENGTH

User payload limited to 12 byte per frame. Only available options are 0, 4, 8, 12 bytes.



### **LOW POWER / WIDE AREA**

With only 14dBm in Europe, the coverage is 60km. Distance record was 1023km from Spain to Eire in 2016. Only 1000 antennas allows to cover most of a country like FRANCE. Compared to 4000 for LoRaWan and 50.000 for 4G



### **BI-DIRECTIONAL**

Devices can receive message from the network (DOWNLINK) up to 4 times a day, right after an uplink communication. A device can request more than 4 downlink per day. Other are best-effort only.



### **LOW THROUGHPUT**

Transmission is limited to 100 bits / seconds in Europe and up to 600 bits / seconds in North America. This is related to the different regulations.



### **REGULATION APPLICATION**

The application of the regulation is under the device maker responsibility. You can transfer up to 6 consecutive frames in Europe if you want.

## Sigfox over the technology





Sigfox is at first a global, world-wide telecom operator. Here is a big part of the innovation.

A single device can communicate all over the world without roaming consideration.



### Sigfox is deployed in many countries and growing fast

- 73 countries in February 2021
- 5,8M KM2 covered
- 1.3B people covered
- 17.2M devices connected in 2021
- 63M messages / day in 2020





### Reduced "Time to get the first fired frame"

As everything is already defined in the protocol, in a developer perspective, the time to getting started with the Sigfox technology is short. Device design is also simplified, and regulation difference have a limited impact in most of the use-cases.

## Security and reliability





### **MESSAGE SIGNATURE**

All the messages are signed with an EAS processed and indexed. It proves the emitter identity and allow to reject usurped or replayed messages in a 4096 messages cycle.



### **ENCRYTION**

Clear payload is the default setting. AES-CTR can be activated when the devices has been designed for. It is part of the standard Sigfox lib. Sigfox is complex to receive for real: open-source receivers are only working under 2 meters.



### **JAMMING PROTECTION**

As Sigfox doesn't require any reception for firing a message it's really complicated to JAM it. This is one of the reason it has been chosen in Securitas solutions. To jam Sigfox you basically need to jam the different base-station around... forget it.




### **COMMUNICATION RELIABILITY**

Every frame is replicated to get 3 transmissions of the same message on different frequencies. It allows a 99.99xx deliverabilty is the covered zones.

### 186

### **USE OF 865Mhz-870 Hz**




Sigfox only 200KHZ. In Europe it is centered on 868.130MHz. In these 200KHz there are 2000 channel, each of them have a size on only 100Hz

**DOWLINK** are using a 10% duty cycle band for two reasons:

- A base-station responds to many different devices
- The radio situation for a base-station is better than for a device. You need more power to be received by a device.

### SigFox – Transmission radio sur DBPSK

**Differential Binary Phase Shift Keying** 






12B: 2,08s


8B: 1,76s

4B: 1,44s


1B:1,2s







1 single type of frame skeleton for all the communications



F.SYNC: Preamble - 20 bits 010101010101010101 - clock sync and Sigfox message identification

F.TYPE: Frame type (related to payload size and repeat)

F: Flags: Flags (bit value, downlink, byte added in payload)

Seq NUM: Sequence number, incremented on every communications

Device ID: device address, uniq


Payload: User data

MAC: CBC-MAC Signature based on NAK (Network Authentication Key)

**CRC16**: Frame bit validation




1 single type of frame skeleton for all the communications




The medium PAYLOAD is identified by the F.TYPE Field / each of the repeat have a different encoding

•	0 bit/byte	- 06B	6E0	034
•	1 bit	- 06B	6E0	034
•	1 byte	- 08D	0D2	302
•	4 bytes	- 35F	598	5A3
•	8 bytes	- 611	6BF	<b>72C</b>
•	12 bytes	- 94C	971	997

1<sup>st</sup> frame is sent with no specific encoding 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> frames use Convolutional Codes



1 single type of frame skeleton for all the communications




11 (value 1)

### Flags have the following content

- BB
  - 0 bit / byte frame 00
  - Single bit frame 10 (value 0)
  - Others (00 to 11) can be read different ways:
    - Number of MAC byte added in payload field
    - Real size of the payload = payload size BB
- SS
  - 00 uplink frame w/o downlink
  - 10 uplink frame w downlink expected


1 single type of frame skeleton for all the communications



- MAC computation use a secret KEY (NAK) shared between device and Sigfox backend.
- MAC includes F, SEQNUM, DEVICE ID, USED PAYLOAD
- MAC computed with EAS-128-CBC, only a part of the result is kept to create the MAC (from 2 to 5 bytes)

# Disk91.com








### SigFox – Downlink transmission is GFSK

Gaussian Frequency-Shift keying (because a device can't receive DBPSK)



### Symbol rate

600 Bit/s

### Frequency

Determined from the uplink message frequency

### It works long-range because

- Frequency is 869.4MHz to 869.65MHz
- So the transmission power is 500mW



### Sigfox – Downlink Frame

Sent by the network on downlink request

P	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	P	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	E	Ε	Ε	Е	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	P	Р	Р	M	M	С

PREAMBULE – 0x2AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAB227

**EEC** – Error correction, redundancy information

Payload – Downlink Data

MAC – Authentication for the destination, EEC + PAYLOAD

**C – CRC-8** 


Destination is not identified in the DOWNLINK frame:

Any device can read the downlink frame, only the one knowing the NAK used to compute MAC will resolve the MAC challenge correctly. MAC also include Uplink SeqId in its computation. That way, only one device will consider the frame as valid.

That way, 4bytes of transmission are saved, collision risk is reduced.

## Sigfox – Frame RX OOB (downlink confirmation)

Sent by the device on downlink reception as a confirmation (no repeat)



V.IDL: Idle voltage


V.TX: Voltage during the last Sigfox transmission

T: Temperature

dRSSI: Downlink reception signal level

### Sigfox - Frame encryption (uplink & downlink)

Activable per device, request to be made at Sigfox



The solution is equivalent to LoRaWAN encryption.

- The Ke is not negotiated but derivates from the **Device ID and NAK.**
- CTR is composed by a derivate vector from DeviceID and NAK + the addition of a 16bits Sequence ID
- The AES(KE,CTR) gives a different key for each communication (modulo 65536). This key is a source for an XOR operation with the frame bits.

Encryption protects against data listening over-the-air. It also protects against replay attack.

























Sigfox get benefit of a large ecosystem with hundreds of available devices and tons of device-kit.

Standard radio chip + MCU price starts about \$1.5 / Ultra low-cost solution starts at 0,20€ for radio + MCU solution.

https:// partners.sigfox.com

https:// makers.sigfox.com

Devkit includes 1 year of communication

Sigfox has been used to closely work with the startup eco-system even if in the last year they are most focusing on big company & at scale projects

### **SIGFOX NETWORK SERVER**

Also call Sigfox backend. It receives messages and help IoT solution administrator to manage the subscription and device fleet.

**Network server is where** you link your device with your final application.







### **TRACKING USE-CASES**

The main Sigfox use-cases in volume are in two domains:

- Security
- Assets tracking

In the domain, Sigfox propose a solution to get a device localization from a single frame based on received radio signal or WiFi signals around. This avoid using a GNSS chip for getting a location.


**Precision and compliance vary:** 

- 1km to 20km for received radio signal
- 30m for WiFi signals when exists

This option is ATLAS.









## LoRaWan, many loT networks deployed on your own







# A POINT-TO-POINT RADIO COMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY



ANETWORK
RUNNING OVER
LoRa.

### Numbers (as of Nov 2020)

180.000.000

LoRa compatible transceivers already distributed by Semtech 1.000.000

## LoRa based gateway chips sold

This could cover 1,5x the total earth surface and 5x the surface where human live. But the real coverage is ... 1-2%?

## POINT-TO-POINT RADIO TECHNOLOGY



### ISM BAND (free of access)

Use of 868MHz band in Europe, Africa. 902MHz -920 MHz in North, South America and Asia. In each of them the exact frequencies differ. Each channel is 125KHz large.



### VARIABLE PAYLOAD LENGTH

User payload can be 59 to 250 bytes depending on Spread Factor and regulation. FCC have a maximum authorized time in the air.



### **LOW POWER / WIDE AREA**

With only 14dBm in Europe, the coverage is 15km. Distance record was 832km from a balloon (cheating). Only 4000 antennas allows to cover most of a country like FRANCE.



### **BI-DIRECTIONAL**

Devices can receive message from the network (DOWNLINK) right after an uplink communication. Downlink messages are used to ack transmission and to transfer data to the device. Firmware update capability, in certain conditions, has been proven.



### **LOW THROUGHPUT**


Transmission is limited to 250 bits to 5400 bits / s depending on Spread Factor choice, for 125kHz bandwidth. Can be 11kbps for 250KHz.




### **REGULATION APPLICATION**

Usually, the regulation rules are managed in the LoRa and LoRaWan stacks. Therefore, what you can do depends on the implementation and the zone you are.

## LoRa SPREAD FACTOR – SPEED AND COVERAGE






## Getting larger coverage vs saving energy can be achieve different ways:

- SF12 vs SF7 is 12,5dBm sensitivity equivalent. This is 16x less power needed to reach the same distance.
- SF12 vs SF7 is 20 times slower. This costs 20x more energy.

To optimize energy, it is better to reduce SF (higher data rate).

To optimize coverage, it is better to use High Gain gateway antenna then to use higher SF (lower data rate).



### **Radio Link Quality**

LoRaWAN includes different mechanism to check the link quality.

These solution are based on bidirectional communications and the risk of loss in both direction is high.

You need to consider the response content and positivity but not directly act on a nonresponse situation.

Taking a decision of changing parameters, rejoin is a project specific decision. Rejoin is high risk of not being able to reconnect. The session keys are maintained by the LNS server. There is not expiration defined in norms.



### **LINK CHECK**

A device can send a LINK CHECK and receives as a response two information: Number of GW and dBm margin. This is basically a link quality indicator. The device can use this information to reduce its transmission power.

2

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

Device can request to ack a communication. The communication is acknowledged by the LNS. You need to have in mind false negative are frequent. They are due to radio collision, device radio environment, Semtech UDP protocol loss, gateway Duty-Cycle constraints or LNS/network processing time higher than 1-2s.

3

### **RETRY MECHANISM**


Based on Acknowledgment mechanism, the RETRY system is going to resent the message up to the desired number of time the same message until the acknowledgment is received. The third repeat will be DR-1, the 5<sup>th</sup> DR-2, the 6<sup>th</sup> DR-3 automatically to improve chance to get received. This can impact your transmission capability due to Duty-cycle.


4

### **ADR - ADRACKReq**


After 64 consecutive unconfirmed uplink, the ADRACKReq flag is added to request a network confirmation. After 32 nonresponse, the device is going lower DATA-RATE. Impacts duty-cycle.

### HIGHER SPEED – HIGHER DATA FREQUENCY






### **RADIO MODULATION PRINCIPLES**



Data are transmitted by shifting the radio signal frequency. This movement creates a pattern encoding the data.


Any other noisy signal in the middle of this movement will be ignored.






Author – Paul Pinault / Disk91.com

# LoRa Symbol decoding principle








## LoRa Spread Factor principle

Getting more time to execute the frequency movement pattern allows a better decoding over noise. Better distance achieve, less loss, but lower throughput








# LoRaWan is one of the existing network implementation for LoRa.

Amazon SideWalk is another implementation of LoRa to build a network.

LoRa is also widely used in point-to-point application.





### LoRa Wan

Use LoRa layer 2 communication and add a protocol on it to support a network integration with different devices and gateways. This can be compared with a TCP/IP layer with many differences.

### LoRa

Point to point communication, we can compare LoRa with WiFi in terms of network layer.

## LoRaMan Network layer





LoRaWAN is a specification defined by LoRa-Alliance (telecom operators and industrial companies, 500+ actors) since 2015.

It defines one of the way to create a network over LoRa with the ability to support multiple public operator in each area



Defines the frequencies to be used (part of them), the frame format, encryption, ADR commands and the way to join a network

2 join procedures has been defined: OTAA (regular one, with session keys negotiation) and ABP (where the sessions keys are static). Over The Air Activation vs Activation By Personalization.



### Defines the encryption procedures

Encryption is mandatory in a system where multiple networks co-exist. The encryption protects each operators against the competition as it protects the customer payload to be captured. The algorithm and the key generation are defined by the LoRaWAN specifications.

## LoRaMan USE OF 865Mhz-870 Hz



LoRaWAN defines, for Europe, 3 standard channels any device will use for the JOIN process. Each of them are 125kHz (375KHz are used). Center frequency are 868.1 868.3 and 868.5 in Europe. They are occupying all the 868.0 -> 868.6 band. LoRaWAN networks in Europe supports 8 channels, other 5 channels are defined by the network operator, usually, in the other 1% bands.

In FCC zone, the constraint is to use a minimum of 64 different channel with channel hopping. This requires 64 LoRaWan gateways (rare and expensive) most of the implementations currently implement only 8 channels gateways. The devices will have to communicate over 64 channels to respect the regulation. Consequently, 75% of communications are lost.

# Paul Pinault / Disk91.com




**Duty Cycle.** 

Downlink channel is fully occupying

all the 869.4-869.65 band.

### LoRaWan mandatory channels






**Each of the LoRaWAN** networks can configure 5 custom 125KHz channels.

**Configuration can be** dynamically sent by network as part of the Join Accept message. Not all the network server respond with it.

LoRaWan device code usually hardcode the bands and associated regulation rules (power and Duty-Cycle)

Even if in UK 865-868MHz band could be use for larger DC or higher power, you need to modify LoRaWAN stack for it.



15 different channels Can be defined They are sharing the Duty-cycle

LoRaWAN Uplink channels 2 different channels Can be defined They are sharing the Duty-cycle

1% Duty Cycl

%

0.5

869.70 MHz

%

0.5

870.00 MHz

25mW ERP



https://lora-alliance.org/sites/default/files/2018-07/lorawan1.0.3.pdf

Different settings are possible and will define the overall device DUTY-CYCLE.


DUTY-CYCLE application is per band and cumulative in the different bands.

DUTY-CYCLE is shared between channels inside a given band.

This allows up-to 3% duty cycle by selecting the right bands.

LoRaWAN applies DC on every channel undependably right after transmission instead on rolling hour.

#### A device can achieve 3% duty-cycle



## LoRaMAN 3 communication classes





#### A Class – uplink and downlinks right after an uplink

One of the available, non busy, channel is selected for the transmission. Once the communication has been made, the selected channel is busy according to the regulation. This communication can be followed by a downlink response when the device request for it. This downlink can have a payload or simply be an acknowledgement from the network. Gateway downlink capability is limited.



#### B Class – scheduled downlink


Instead of having to transmit a data to be able to receive a message, this mode allow to have a rendez-vous. It means clock synchronization and it is rarely implemented but allows multicast like for firmware distribution.



#### C Class – continuous reception

This is basically a Gateway mode. It is applicable for powered components as reception is power consuming (even if less than transmission)

#### LoRaWAN Network architecture



#### LoRaWAN Network Server (LNS)

All the DEVICES are communicating to GATEWAYS, a gateway receives all the LoRaWAN messages, this includes messages already received by another gateway of the network. It also includes GATEWAY from other networks. All the packets are transferred to the NETWORK SERVER (LNS)

The NETWORK SERVER is decrypting the communications (only the NETWORK SERVER you belongs to, has the keys for it), it also manage the JOIN procedure and ADR (Adaptative Data Rate) parameters with the DEVICES. It also forward the PAYLOAD to the CUSTOMER IT (Application Server) usually using HTTP POST or MQTT integration protocol.





All the DEVICES are communicating to GATEWAYS, a gateway receives all the LoRaWAN messages, this includes messages already received by another gateway of the network. It also includes GATEWAY from other networks. All the packets are transferred to the NETWORK SERVER (LoRaWAN cloud here)

The NETWORK SERVER is decrypting the communication (only the NETWORK SERVER you belongs to have the keys for it), it also manage the JOIN procedure and ADR (Adaptative Data Rate) parameters with the DEVICES. It also forward the PAYLOAD to the CUSTOMER IT usually using HTTP POST or MQTT integration protocol.

## LoRaMan Device identification

#### **OTAA INPUTS**

#### **DevEUI**


**Device Uniq ID – IEEE unique (64b)** 

#### **AppEUI**

Application Uniq ID – IEEE unique (64b)

#### **AppKey**

Secret KEY only knows by the device and the Network Server. Used for generating signature and encryption keys. (128b)



#### **OTAA OUTPUT**

#### **AppSKey**

Session key used to encrypt the communications

#### **NwkSKey**

Session key used to sign the communications

#### DevAddr

Device ID to be use during the current session. This is a 32b ID, shorter than the **DEV EUI.** 

### JOIN / REJOIN PRACTICE

To limit the risk of jamming due to device not able to connect, the LoRaWAN stack limits the JOIN process to a lower Duty-Cycle.

Remark: in my experience, a large part of the traffic received by my gateways is caused by devices out of subscription trying to connect but being rejected by LNS.

Frequent rejoin is basically not a good practice. A network disconnection does not kill the session keys.

## 1

#### WHY REJOINING

The only good reason for rejoining is session key rotation. The LNS is not supposed to lost the session key and the norms does not defined expiration. That said, In case of LNS problem causing a Session loss, REJOIN can be used after many failed communications. Recommendation is to have them as rare as possible.

2


#### **JOIN PROCESS BACK-OFF**

In case a Rejoining process has been implemented after X lost messages; because in most of the case these loss are related to a gateway issues, all the devices will try to rejoin in the same area causing a high risk of jamming and collision.

To reduce this risk of collision, such devices need to implement a random timer between JOIN Request sequence and randomly select one of the 3 join channels.

On top of this a specific duty cycle is applied

#### JOIN PROCESS DUTY-CYCLE OVER TIME (From device reset / rejoin)



LoRaWAN Network Server (LNS) maintains the session information: DevID, Network S key (authentication), Application S key (encryption)


DevEUI, AppEUI, AppKEY are shared between device and JOIN SERVER.

The JOIN SERVER and DEVICE use derivate JOINEUI, DevNonce and DEVEUI to locally generate NwkSKey and APPSkey.

JOIN SERVER propagates these session key to LNS components

The join process is just this session key negotiation.

Session never expire.



## LoRaMAN FRAME FORMAT


#### **MAC Command**

Allows the network server to place some specific request to the device like force a Spread Factor, channel list, transmission power ... As a designed you must manage configuration change you did not expect.

#### MIC

Frame authentication

Protocol complexity is higher than Sigfox, therefore, the memory and flash footprint for LoRaWan is higher than Sigfox. For this reason ultra-low-cost is not a reachable target for it.



## ADR (Adaptative Data Rate) allows the network to setup the device:

- Data Rate
- TX Power

The ADR implementation really depends on the networks.

Considering ADR for a fleet of static devices (metering, smart home...) really makes sense to preserve the power consumption of device around the gateways.

It will reduce maintenance cost over time.

ADR is managed by the LoRaWan Network Server



#### **ADR IS OPTIONAL**

ADR is an option the network operator can activate in the network server. This option allows to control the device configuration. This impact the development as you do not master time-on-the-air and power consumption anymore. Consider worst case during design.



#### **ADR FOR THE BEST**

For a fleet of static devices, ADR allows to dynamically optimize the device power consumption and network scalability.



#### **ADR FOR THE WORST**

Not applicable for mobile devices: the previous ADR calculation will be wrong when the device will receive it. ADR is mostly implemented in networks to protect scalability by limiting your ability to switch to low DR.




#### **ADR ON ACK**

To receive an ADR command, the device needs to communicate, including an Acknowledgment request. Once ADR is activated the flag will be set on regular basis by the LoRaWan MAC layer.



#### ADR can be set on device when RF conditions are stable



LNS usually takes 20 Uplink communications to determine the right DataRate / Tx Power and propose the device a new configuration

Usually, device request for parameter update (ADRAckReq) every 64 communications in EU868.

### LôRaM DOWNLINKS





#### DOWNLINK are immediately following an UPLINK communication

The first one is on the same frequency as the UPLINK 1 second after it

Speed can be different. The channel rules are applied (power, duty-cycle...) This time is short for a Gateway – Network Server communication.

The second one is on 869.525Mhz allowing a 27dBm transmission

It happen 2 seconds after Uplink. Gateway gets more time to receive the Payload from the Network server



A downlink is acked by the device, multiple downlinks can be chained.

The downlink payload have to be queued in the network server: there is not enough time to loop the request with the customer IT.

## LoRaWan scalability

Congestion model show large degradation with 1000 devices communicating 1% duty-cycle within a single gateway range. All LoRaWAN networks around are cumulative. Network operators are protecting scaling by different ways.



#### **DUTY-CYCLE REDUCTION**

Limit the total amount of messages / day in the subscription. Use 2 bands to be in the 2% instead of 3. Use of lower density 865-868 band.



#### **DATA-RATE CONSTRAINT**

Force devices to use higher speed datarate to reduce the individual time on the air per message.



#### TX POWER REDUCTION

Reduce short range devices power to reduce the collision rate perimeter.





#### LoRaWAN scalability

#### Different factors are limiting the scalability

- No congestion management
- 3 channels are common for all the networks
- About 1000 device in a same area (even in different networks) will saturate the 8 available channels. (it depends on SF and duty cycle...)



#### LôRa MAN STRENGHT AND WEAKNESS






#### Strength

- Ability to offer TDOA location computing (Time Difference Over the Air) for non-GPS tracking (hundreds of meter precision)
- Ability to deploy private networks at low cost.
- Ability to support mobility with a reasonable loss rate.
- Throughput enabling multiple use-cases.



- Complex channel management in roaming and complex roaming. Channel map for a network operator have to be global.
- A really limited number of public offer and complex roaming capability makes it limited to private usage or country usage when covered.
- Software complexity making it a bit more expensive than competitors on the device side. Even if the price is decreasing. Today it is starting at 5€ / device.

# Private deployments



## Public Application

The map displayed here has been made with a single LoRaWan antenna network deployed in a high position. All the city around is covered. The investment is about 500€ in 2020 for a such result.



#### **Smart city application**

Sensors deployed all around the city



#### **Local mobility**

Collect information about public transport or parking availability ...



#### **Public network**

Offering an IoT network access to all the citizens for private purpose.



## Industrial



Many industrial sector can get benefit of low-cost wide area networks.

This map has been made with a gateway on top of an Agricultural building. It basically cover all the area where the farmers are working and much more.



#### **Agricultural domain**

Get sensors data from field, animals, machines in real time.



#### Mining

Usage, performance, maintenance, vehicule tracking, people safety ... Many application in area where classical network access is limited.



#### Industry


Provide a global coverage on an industrial site, process reporting, safety, inventory management...



What we get with a simple indoor gateway

An investment around 300€ allows to get a coverage in an industrial site, even Indoor and without interacting with existing network

# Private deployments



## Indoor vs Outdoor coverage

10 km coverage is what you can get with a good outdoor spot.

Indoor antenna performance are usually around 300m around.

On the left: an example of two gateways coverage (outdoor has a larger coverage than what your see here, outdoor did not). Scale is the same



## The Things Network

Is a crowdsourced network, deployed World-wide and free for use. Deployed by passioned people it proposes a good quality network In the main big cities.

Open-source mindset. This network is use in many business application: the network server is use for simplifying private deployment.

A professional version is available with Network Server SLA. The Things Industry is the most innovative organization in the LoRaWan area since 2015. They are also pushing the market by making low-cost hardware and opensource solution.

Basically it is Uber for Telecom industry







#### Created in 2015

30.000 Gateways running in 150 countries


500.000 devices using it

9M messages / day 2020

65% market share on covered zones.

Most of the gateways have been deployed in Europe.





Coverage example made by a short number of people in a medium size city.









Coverage is provided by a community of people instead of a company. Like TheThingsNetwork





Investment on hardware is rewarded by a crypto token. Blockchain's rules pilot the deployment and supports the main telecom industry processes.





The network is global, it has started in North America, now covering Europe quickly and start in Asia.





The networks supports multiple LoRa Network Servers (Routers) as private networks on top of the Gataways (Hotspot) public infrastructure.



## People-Powered Networks.

#### Start a Wireless Revolution

Powered by the Helium Blockchain, The People's Network represents a paradigm shift for decentralized wireless infrastructure.



An IoT network based on LoRaWan technology.

Deployed by people, at home, worldwide.

Powered by a blockchain to create an incentive and manage telecom operator's processes






12500 Hotspot deployed in 6 months. Mostly in the USA. 77000 deployed in a years.

Growing fast as today your cash back is about 1-2 weeks.

Limited coverage due to indoor installation in most of the case.



#### **HELIUM**

Is also crowdsourced IoT network, but it targets a different category of people to deploy the network. Instead of tech passionate, it target crypto investors.


Helium is an IoT network managed with a blockchain. Helium contributor are mining HNT tokens against coverage. Communication are billable with a flat and low price.

Basically, it is UBER + BITCOIN for Telecom industry

May	2020	3025 Hotspots
August	2020	4000 Hotspots
Nov	2020	12477 Hotspots
Feb	2021	18700 Hotspots
July	2021	77100 Hotspots
August	2021	100.000 HS

#### What is a Telecom blockchain





#### A Blockchain Based Network

Mining is done using Proof of Coverage (PoC). Unlike Proof of Work, it relies on radio communication and has a light power consumption.



#### FOR NETWORK INFRASTRUCTURE OWNERS

A crypto token (HNT) is mined during PoC and data transfer. Uses are:

- Burn into DC at market rate
- Sell on crypto exchanges



#### **FOR NETWORK USERS**

Acquire a specific token (DC) with a fixed price for data transfer




#### DATA IS ROUTED ON NETWORK SERVERS

Payload is routed to the right network server. Today Helium propose one.




#### Helium network growth






#### Helium is high density LoRaWan






#### **Helium at Clermont-Ferrand**





#### helium Network Architecture



#### Helium distributed architecture


The HELIUM network is composed by hotspots. A Hotspot is a LoRaWan gateway associated to a Miner. A miner is lightweight and can run on a raspberry Pi. It is running in a docker container.

The miners are connected altogether over a P2P network. They are maintaining / running the blockchain.

Device communication passes through these different layers and are routed up to their specific Network Server. The distributed network supports multiple Network Servers. (Network Servers are centralized components in this architecture). Application servers works on helium as on any other Network Server. Nothing specific. The data itself is not inside the blockchain.

#### belium

#### helium Network Server Architecture




#### **Helium Network Server Architecture**

Devices are declared by Service Provider in a console. This one is belonging to a Helium Operator. There is no restriction to become a Helium Network Operator, so you can build your "private" network on top of Helium. The router is registering these devices on the blockchain to create a direct route from the Hotspots to the router the devices are belonging to. Router receives only data

concerning its registered devices. Router is accepting or rejecting the data. Once accepted, the router pays for the communication. So basically, its not the device burning DC but the Router, devices are belonging to. The Helium integrator then pass the data to the service provider. This on stores the data. No data are stored in the previously described stages.

## helium End to End Encryption principle




## Helium data protection

The HELIUM network rely on LoRaWan technology. The communications are encrypted with a session key negotiated with the Network Server. Only the device and the network server can decrypt the payload. In an architecture you can have a private Network Server on a public infrastructure, it means you can protect the device

data from End-to-End with no tiers manipulating the raw data. This is unusual in the classical LoRaWan public architecture. Usually, you need to add an applicative encryption layer to ensure an End-t—End security. Something not a lot of devices support.

## helium Operator economics



### **Helium Router transaction**

Helium has a message-based transaction cost but also running cost at the Router level. A State channel is an autonomous blockchain with a predefined life duration (from an hour to a week) to store the packet exchange history and manage the contract between the hotspots and the router. At the end of the state channel life, the payments to hotspots are reported on the main blockchain and converted in HNT creation.

The state channel creation is a transaction burning 35K DC. Router creation also needs an investment of a minimum of 9M DC to create an OUI (1M DC) and buy some DevAddr (8 minimum) for 1M DC each.

The devices also needs to be registered to route them to the router. It cost 40k DC on every transaction, each of it can have multiple devices.

**Transmission** 

over the air,

SF12BW125

Random channel

(867-868MHz)

**Full power** 



## **PoC Principles**

- **Hotspot create Challenge on** every 240 blocks (about 4 hours). Challenge goes to a random target: Challengee
- Challengee transmits a 52bytes message over the air. It is the PoC packet.
- Hotspots around receives the message and report reception to the chain. This is a Witness.

Miner1 creates a 0.07 HNT challenge and select a challengee randomly TX 52Bytes Payload Challengee (Miner2) transmits the challenge Miner3 receives RX 2.00 HNT the challenge, it Witnesses 2.00 HNT Miner4 receives RX the challenge, it **52B** Witnesses Miner 2-3-4 are in the same area and Revenue order of coverage is verified


magnitude



# helium

## Witness Validity **And Revenue** Adaptation

- The purpose is to facilitate the network extension instead of concentration
- **Encourages outdoor antennas** and larger coverage
- **Encourages to deploy on** locations that extend the coverage step by step








## **DC Principles**


- Blockchain transaction creates HNT
- HNT can convert to DC for communications.
- **Every 24 bytes** communication burn 1 DC.

With a fixed DC price and the HNT <-> DC burn principle, the HNT value is directly related to the data traffic processed by the network.

	HNT	DC
Value	Market rate	\$0.00001
How to acquire?	Mined	Burn HNT
Transferable	Yes	No



## helium Token valuation



Helium token valuation depends on offer and demand and subject to speculation. Compared to classical stock option, token is not a shared of a company, but something consumed as part of the service offered. Currently, most of the token are consumed by the Hotspot creation. In a first scenario, let's consider that the miners directly sold what is produced. Assuming Helium Inc has no interest in

selling the HNT collected. In a such scenario, the Token valuation will be \$0.14 per HNT assuming 10.000 hotspot registered per month: the needed rhythm to achieve the 150K hotspot at end of the year.

This scenario is wrong as most of the miner have interest in stacking / holding.

## helium Token valuation



As of May 2<sup>nd</sup>, binance global HNT wallet is 14 399 357 HNT for 85 200 000 circulating supply floating is 17%, exchange about 1.2M HNT/day 8% rotation https://explorer.helium.com/accounts/14YeKFGXE23yAdACj6hu5NWEcYzzKxptYbm5jHgzw9A1P1UQfMv

In this second scenario, with 10% of floating HNT, the valuation on the market growth to \$1. You also see that whatever is the HNT valuation, there is no impact on the DC market and the hotspot manufacturer have no specific interest in HNT value for making their business. They can buy a high HNT price to burn into DC without impacting their business model.


Today on market, the exchanged volumes are around 2.5M

to 5M HNT per days eq 150M / month.

HNT burn impact is low on this volume of exchange but it depends on the real floating market size. (on the 150M HNT exchanged, how many different HNT?)

Difference between Market value and valuation is speculation.

## helium Token valuation



There in an interest in placing large orders in a short time for hotspot vendors. The price of acquisition is not a problem as higher it becomes, higher is the DC rate change. With \$250k to \$500K they can be 2% to 8% of the market size for a single day. (on May 2<sup>nd</sup>, average HNT volume is 1M HNT / 18M USDT)

This can make regular token boost on the market.

Today is not not what is driving the value on middle longterm range, but it can have some interesting effects on short term value.









# 3GPP - Traditional telecom technologies applied on IoT











## Multiple technologies

LTE-M is a power saving version of LTE (aka 4G)
NB-IoT is the LPWAN solution from 3GPP
Both have been added in best effort mode to 4G

Both will natively be provided and improved with 5G equipment's.

## Telecom operator technologies






- LTE-M => LTE-MTC Machine Type Communication
- eMTC => enhanced Machine Type Communication
- LTE CAT-M1/2
- IP Based directly accessible



- LTE CAT-NB1/2 => Narrow Band
- Accessible through an operator network kernel


Both are using licensed spectrum with no duty-cycle restrictions Both are deployed by telecom operator and subject to subscription

## **3GPP Roadmaps**





## Radio chips are available 18 month after spec



The chip industry need a reasonable time to implement the new 3GPP specification in silicon. It's about 18 months process before getting a released version. Then the design of object hardware can start for a second 18 months process. Therefore we have a 3 years shift between technology announcement and market availability.

On the operator side update can be software when the within the same generation. Hardware deployment (with large investment) is needed to change from a generation to the next one.

## **3GPP Solutions**

#### LTE-Cat M / LTE-Cat NB are solutions based on 4G (LTE)

		2
-		
	<del>-</del>	$\rightleftarrows$

	LTE Cat 1	LTE-M			NB-IoT			
V·T·E [7][8]		LC-LTE/MTCe	MTCe eMTC		NB-10 I		EC-GSM-IoT	
		LTE Cat 0	LTE Cat M1	LTE Cat M2	non-BL	LTE Cat NB1	LTE Cat NB2	
3GPP Release	Release 8	Release 12	Release 13	Release 14	Release 14	Release 13	Release 14	Release 13
	ate 10 Mbit/s 1 Mbit/s 1 Mbit/s 4 Mbit/s					2711/	90 l.b.:+/a	474 kbit/s (EDGE)
Downlink Peak Rate			27 kbit/s	80 kbit/s	2 Mbit/s (EGPRS2B)			
			62 kbit/s (multi-tone)	105 kbit/s	474 kbit/s (EDGE)			
Uplink Peak Rate	5 Mbit/s	1 Mbit/s	1 Mbit/s 7 Mbit/s		20 kbit/s (single-tone)		2 Mbit/s (EGPRS2B)	
Latency	50-100ms	not deployed	10ms-15ms			1.6s-10s		700ms-2s
Number of Antennas	2	1	1			1		1–2
Duplex Mode	Full Duplex	Full or Half Duplex	Full or Half Duplex			Half Duplex		Half Duplex
Device Receive Bandwidth	1.4 – 20 MHz	1.4 – 20 MHz	1.4 MHz	4x1.4 MHz		180 kHz	180 kHz	200 kHz
Receiver Chains	2 (MIMO)	1 (SISO)	1 (SISO)			1 (SISO)		1–2
Device Transmit Power	23 dBm	23 dBm	20 / 23 dBm			20 / 23 dBm		23 / 33 dBm

At least a software update is needed on all operator equipments over 4G.

### **3GPP Solutions in the 5G**

	<b>Next Generation</b>	
	5G	
Range (Outdoor)	< 15 km	
MCL	164 dB	
Spectrum	Licensed (7-900 MHz)	
Bandwidth	shared	
Data Rate	<1 Mbps	
Battery Life	>10 years	
Availability	2025	

5G release 15 is still not really documented on Internet about LTE-M & NB-loT improvement.

## LTE-M outdoor coverage



Orange network coverage in France. Dark orange is indoor coverage. Light orange = outdoor only.

**Basically 4G network** coverage